INSIGHT

Every single aspect of the "Covid" narrative...

JONATHAN ENGLER · APR 9 · 763 · 576 · 118

A summary of PANDA's position statement of 1 March 2024

Read →

576 Comments

Share

Write a comment...

Top first

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon Apr 9 Liked by Jonathan Engler

Thank you, Jonathan. As you know, this too is my position.

LIKED (47) REPLY (4) SHARE

Bard Joseph Joseph's Substack Apr 9

https://www.globalresearch.ca/german-government-admits-there-was-no-pandemic/5853916

Germany coming clean?

LIKED (9) REPLY (3) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 12 Author

The perpetrators will never come clean. They will dodge, dive, lie, twist and offer up crumbs of limited hangout "we made mistakes" admissions in an attempt to cap their culpability or pass the blame on to others.

The phrase to look out for to work out if someone has truly understood (or is willing to admit) the scale of the operation is whether they refer to the need to be "better prepared next time".

LIKED (12) REPLY SHARE

PamelaDrew Pamela Drew #my2c Apr 9 Liked by Jonathan Engler

Old timer & stickler in the field trip mom way for classifying & categorizing behavior of the perpetual liars. Neither the political NGO factions nor their presstitute media supporters ever come clean.. they cede the loss of ground as it becomes indefensible & liability to whatever credibility they imagine themselves to have. Amoral actors fold like cheap lawn chairs to save themselves as reality drives panic expect trampling.. lucky us their collapse is our sunshine free to glow! :~)

LIKED (12) REPLY (1) SHARE

Bard Joseph Joseph's Substack Apr 9 Liked by Jonathan Engler

Just a bunch of dolts botching shit. Hey, mistakes were made. LOL.

LIKED (7) REPLY SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 15

A simple Google search will dispel that notion in a nanosecond. Germany to this day still encourages vaccine shots and post pandemic protocols. Place talking this craziness is that one dumb article you posted which is not a credible source by anybody's criteria except the conspiracy theorist of the world.

LIKE (3) REPLY (2) SHARE

IronForce May 6 Liked by Jonathan Engler

Yes, Germany also suggested rounding up Jews and putting them into ovens because they were a health hazard. And the Google thing ... I have no words for that lack of situational awareness.

LIKED (2) REPLY SHARE

JK Growling Apr 30

In a world of propaganda the truth is always a conspiracy!

Who the fvck uses google knowing who funds it!

LIKED (5) REPLY SHARE

Linda Meads Apr 10

I admire you to the sun and back, Mike but just do not understand your viewpoint on this. These aren't normal flus, chest infections over the past 4 years. So what in your opinion was causing people to get sick, even before the jabs. We accept they the PCR tests are inaccurate and should never have been used for diagnosis. So what is Covid pneumonia? What mechanism caused the precipitate drop in SATS on early cases? Why is it so exhausting?

LIKE (6) REPLY (4) SHARE

Jeremy Dangerhouse Simmering: Notes from the Flick... Apr 11

I'm a senior of lifelong robust health. I have never been sicker than my first Covid infection, which briefly (48 hrs) killed my sense of taste and smell after several days of progressively more debilitating headache. I had a fever of 103 for a couple of days toward the end of that, and the hospital registered a blood oxygen level barely above 90%.

But according to you, nothing to see here.

:/

LIKE (9) REPLY (4) SHARE

DR. Maxson Apr 21

I have a blood oxygen level barely above 90% alot (between 91 &94) and it's been like that for years.hardly life threatening, i am in my 60's. Not doubting the severity of your ilness, just saying...I feel fine. In good health, never had Covid or the jab. But I know other people that did, early on, severely ill, so I am with you, Covid was real, just not a killer without co-morbidities. We now have herd immunity (except those that got the jab).

LIKE (3) REPLY (2) SHARE

Linda Meads May 12

Q fever? https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10493821/

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Chris Charlier Apr 25

Could not agree with you more. It was very real and made some of us very sick.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Linda Meads Apr 11 · *edited Apr 11*

Absolutely. Sorry for your suffering. It's unbelievable they can blithely dismiss so many testimonies so similar to yours. I'll just put this here AGAIN so those who can be bothered to listen to it can hear jab damaged John Watt describing his symptoms following a flight home from S Africa in early 2020. One of many many accounts. The dismissa/avoidance of so much evidence is truly extraordinary.

Please watch this testimony from jab damaged Scot John Watt where he starts by describing the symptoms he suffered in 2020 culminating in heart stents. I've heard this story with variations over and over again from people who fell very sick in 2019/20. Does this sound to you like a response to an engineered SARSCOV2 pathogen? If so, how, when and where was it deployed?

https://youtu.be/_1TgQCCUoeA?si=8rZKGqZg4ri5Hln8

David Martin, Sasha Latypova, Katherine Watt my are the ones who've nailed the mechanics of this diabolical Scamdemic.

Brilliant

https://open.substack.com/pub/makismd/p/video-the-great-setup-drdavid-martin?r=10r23v&utm_medium=ios

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

DR. Maxson Apr 21

Thank you Linda Meads, for providing a link to Dr. David Martins video. He really brings the receipts. Dating back to the 1990s. Of particular interest to me was the role of Dr. Barick in the creation of covid as well as the treatment for it published back in 2005. The same treatment used by Dr. Zelenski to save thousands of lives. He didn't pull his effective treatment protocol out of a hat. He got it from the man responsible for sars-covid creation 25 years ago. Black Rock is thee evil entity orchestrating (with others) this whole war o humanity. Which is long from over

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Peter Coniglio May 5

You have to understand the applied psychology technics at play here. You were gaslite 24/7, they we working through your subconscious and as your subconscious drives 95% of your behaviour you quickly fell foul of the nocebo effect.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler May 5 Author

The nocebo effect is dramatically under appreciated IMO.

I also think the pulse ox hysteria may have been very significant.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Linda Meads May 12

Yes horrible symptoms which are not typical of a respiratory virus l. Never fell for that but something caused uoircdumpyomscand that if millions of others. Maybe it's an engineered version of this? Q Fever from Coxiella?

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10493821/

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Chris Charlier Apr 25

I agree. This was a significant illness. Why did some of us lose their sense of taste and smell for months if this was just the seasonal flu?

LIKE (2) REPLY (4) SHARE

Linda Meads Apr 25

AND WHAT IF.... a certain hacking collective breached the CCP security video system for the train station in the ground 0 city and got video of the pathogen being deployed in the very early hours one morning.

China "evacuated" about 5 million people from the city spreading it worldwide.

WHAT IF??!

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Linda Meads Apr 25

WHAT IF....It was designed over years, GOF completed in Wuhan but not particularly transmissible. To create symptoms and the illusion of a pandemic it could then have been selectively deployed? By whom and why?
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4495699/

https://www.hoover.org/research/china-deliberately-spread-coronavirus-what-are-strategic-consequences

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Linda Meads May 12

It wasn't a resp virus. Take a look at (bio-weapon) pathogen

Coxiella Burnetii as an alternative to the transmissible Sarcov2 virus theory

'Epidemic of Fraud'

see CB evidence (very briefly) at 1:25:00

https://youtu.be/1tYQq_d-8Y0?si=XvYSKIWV0XGmSy0P

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Steven Johnston May 10

I don't know. But.......

Losing sense of taste and smell is -and always has been - a common symptom.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Chris Charlier May 11

I don't know.. it lasted for months even after all of my other symptoms had long been resolved.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

skintnick Apr 10

(and the article within the thread, of course)

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Linda Meads Apr 10 · *edited May 13*

Thank you but analogies and theories don't help at all as they don't gel with my own experience and observations. Also you cannot completely disregard the experience of medics who were treating it on the front line.

For the first year I was on the fence having accepted the 'no virus' argument. But I saw too many falling sick to any longer deny that this was a syndrome. I'm vulnerable, asthmatic/atopic, otherwise healthy. I knew enough about Pfizer's shenanigans for the past 15 years not to take the arm poison. In 2020 I needed antibiotics and steroids for the first time in years. Since 2022 I have had two weird episodes followed by respiratory infections.

In the last 3 years I've heard no rational explanation of the multiple stories of cases of a weird flu back from mid-2019 from Europe, the U.K. and travellers.

Was a SARSCOV2 pathogen engineered or was it another bacterial bio-weapon that was aerosolised then strategically deployed. Could it have been Coxiella Burnetii?

Please watch this testimony from vax damaged John Watt. He starts his account by describing the symptoms he suffered in 2020 which culminated in an op for heart stents. There a thousands of such stories.

https://youtu.be/_1TgQCCUoeA?si=IfNx_gr_A5o1qxu-

LIKE REPLY (2) SHARE

skintnick Apr 10

I cannot help your navel-gazing any further than that I have attempted. This is not an insult (I rely entirely on my own experience to hold onto a belief that rapid climate change is a real phenomenon.) But I want you to see that every person's experience of *the very same phenomenon* is different and that the more we are directed to look for a particular causation the more we are likely to believe it to be true...

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Linda Meads Apr 10

I'm not navel gazing, which is actually rather insulting. Neither was I directed. Quite the contrary. As for rapid climate change, there's a lot going on but we're not driving it!

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Steven Johnston May 18

Yes. They are manipulating statistics and apparently have repositioned thermometers in order to get higher values

Those of us around in 1976 know, for absolute certainty, that all of these claims about record breaking spells of weather are utter bullshit.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Steven Johnston May 18

Well, I didn't see anybody - literally nobody - being sick, let alone with some new, previously unknown symptoms. Even if that was the case, it still doesn't prove contagion

As far as I recall, nurses and doctors spent their super-busy time choreographing complex dance routines. So I am definitely going to ignore their stories of horror and novel symptoms.

The whole thing was studded with lies and nonsense from start to finish.

LIKED (1) REPLY SHARE

skintnick Apr 10

Let me point you to this thread https://x.com/Wood_House76/status/1700151367560548588

Do you see the analogy?

LIKE REPLY SHARE

PamelaDrew Pamela Drew #my2c Apr 9

Thank you too Mr. Sparkle for explaining how our overlords lie about everything & that does mean everything.. my new favorite w Doctors4CovidEthics with the "Deadly by Design" riff about mRNA transfections as seen through the eyes of a drug developer.. pure gold from @ 22 min w mind blowing "read with mounting horror" 2012 paper of accumulation @ 31 https://rumble.com/v4kc73l-dr-mike-yeadon.html

Another bombshell & favorite Feb 2020 FDA seminar for expediting EUA testing.. no talk of patients just data value.. ownership & how far informed consent reaches when DNA harvesting is done w medical "waste" as if In-Q-Tel partner isn't digital Stasis on steroids.. fingers crossed Jay Couey part two live will be about 2hrs from now!!

https://rumble.com/v4nnwkr-gigaohm-biological-high-resistance-low-noise-information-brief.html

LIKE (4) REPLY (1) SHARE

Gaye Apr 9 · *edited Apr 9*

The only other person I've heard call him that is JJ so did he start that? I can't disagree because Mike has certainly provided much-needed illumination in a very dark time. It's probably gone now, but I'll never forget his garage video and what a relief it was to find see someone making sense.

LIKE (8) REPLY (1) SHARE

PamelaDrew Pamela Drew #my2c Apr 9

Yes Jay coined that and lots of us ran with it because it fits wonderfully! <3

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Gaye Apr 10

If I could only recall everything Jay's been teaching for the last few years! I listen while I'm cooking and to my family he's "the bicycle guy." 😂

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Norman J Pieniazek Apr 9

Great post, Jonathan. Thank you.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Allen Apr 10 Liked by Jonathan Engler

There is no such things as "Covid" outside the world of mass propaganda and intelligence operations. It is also true that there is nothing new about the "Covid Operation" except in the scale and execution as well as the scale of public "acceptance" which was due to many factors- the most massive fear-based propaganda campaign in history as well as mass coercion and institutional bribery are part of this.

It is ALL lies- those of us who have been tracking these things for twenty years or more saw the train coming down the tracks in Feb-March 2020 and were warning people then. You don't have to be a genius to figure this out- you know the characters involved, the institutions involved, the story line and you understand the motives- cui bono.

We are living through the biggest worldwide organized crime event since WW2. The scale of the deception is too large for even many who consider themselves "in the know" to accept or comprehend.

The shutting down of the Western world's economies in March was intentional and done in response to the existential financial crises facing these global parasites. "The Virus™" story was invented to provide cover for this collapse and as rationale for the tyrannical responses.

What an amazing coincidence that an alleged "free-floating sub-microscopic particle" landed from outer space/wet market/bat cave at the same time as the rotting carcass of the Western World's sclerotic financial system was hurtling at full speed off the cliff.

The "timely" arrival of the Covid-19 "emergency" provided the rationale and the opportunity to freeze the US banking collapse with massive injections of cash. Somewhere in the neighborhood of \$8-10 trillion was paid to US banks up until March 2020 with an additional \$5 trillion in economic stimulus promised by the Fed.

The COVID phenomenon cannot be understood without understanding the un-televised 2019-2020 unprecedented financial collapse threatening the entire global financial system.

The COVID fraud timing became necessary as world markets were faced with an emergency debt crisis that popped up in formerly mostly liquid markets: Repo markets, money markets and FX markets. This is what 'triggered' the engineered pandemic in March 2020.

The "Covid19 response" was designed to do the following as well as multiple other lines of acquisition:

1) Redistribution of wealth aka THEFT from the poor and middle class to the insanely rich;

2) Fear-induced digital enslavement- The Great Reset/4th IR;

3) Pharma making billions in profit and introduction of mRNA gravy train;

4) Constant bailouts for the financial sector;

5) Entrenchment of the Bio-Security State.

As the "War on Terror" illustrated, these deep events are constructed to exploit as many different lines of acquisition as possible. With the "Covid Pandemic" replacing the phony "War on Terror" yet another revamped "worldwide crisis" miraculously morphs into a ruling class multi-purpose golden opportunity.

Covid-19, the disease, is nothing more than a disease of FALSE ATTRIBUTION.

Covid-19, the media event, was the Trojan Horse constructed to usher in a complete transformation of our society.

Covid-19, the operation, was never an epidemiological event, it is a business model meant to increase the portfolios of the super-wealthy.

LIKE (28) REPLY (3) SHARE

Jem McLoughlin Apr 10

Well done Allen. To add to your list ID, Prof. Richard Werner said that during the 'summer Devoir' at Jackson Hole, Wyoming Aug. 2019 (jerry Fink of Black Rock joined the next he inflation.

PANDA did state at the beginning of this piece that they were not covering the issue of 'why' but it all helps understanding.

LIKE (3) REPLY (3) SHARE

Allen Apr 10

"BlackRock Authored the Bailout Plan Before There Was a Crisis – Now It's Been Hired by three Central Banks to Implement the Plan"

https://wallstreetonparade.com/2020/05/blackrock-authored-the-bailout-plan-before-there-was-a-crisis-now-its-been-hired-by-three-central-banks-to-implement-the-plan/

Yes, I believe the piece here stands on its own in its focused thesis and is invaluable I would say not only for that thesis (others have been saying the same for four years) but for the fact that it provides a point antidote to those in the "health freedom movement" (CHD and all of it's hirelings e.g.) who continue to push the unsubstantiated claims that there was a pandemic.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Zen Belmont Apr 10

Do you realize how insane what you're saying is? A virus was released to cover a financial collapse? You should by reading the economic reports of the time. America's economy was stable and growing and getting better every day due to Obamas policies. Germany, England, France and many others had robust economies. Other countries would be China, Japan, India, all had robust and growing economies. In this country the stock market was at record highs, interest rates were low, unemployment was under 4% and the GDP was growing. Growing slowly, but growing nevertheless.

And you think that there was a global conspiracy to kill millions of people? Are you insane? Do you realize that at a minimum the leaders of the G7 would have to all get into our room and collaborate and conspire to release a virus to kill their own citizens Think about what you're saying because it is irrational and the conspiracy theory garbage is just that, garbage.

The criticisms about how this was managed for the most part can be fair. But all of those people denying Covid evident to them is the virus and probably never got sick with the virus. Far more debilitating than a serious flu. Those are the facts

LIKE (1) REPLY (2) SHARE

Allen Apr 10 Replying to Zen Belmont

Try to wake up. Read his "virus" was unleashed– a global operation was.

Put down your tired hopes and mindless rants and get off your television.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

DR. Mission Apr 21

So what your saying is, it's too outrageous to be believed. That's your whole argument. By the way, the economy was growing rapidly after 8 years of sluggish growth and massive quantitative easing, which barely kept the economy from recession under Obama, and his restrictive economic policies. The economy was finally recovering and growing rapidly due to the policies if Trump, whose repeal of burdensome regulatory chokehold and tax reform created possibly the best economy in history with 33% God in the final quarter of his presidency. BEFORE the roll out of the vaccine. The economy under Trump was so. Robust that he could suspend the quarantine easing and ease interest rates. (Not in an effort to quell inflation, but in an effort to slow the economic growth and hurt Trump's re-election chances.) The Covid virus. Fact is Fed has recently admitted that was the real reason.) a shocking admission of bias against Trump and also an admission of the bias willingness to screw over the American people to suit their own agenda. The economy tanked once again after the election were the business community realized Trump's policies would be reversed. The only thing that saved the economy after that was then as massive gov. Spending. Resulting in our current inflation which is still twice as high as Trump's avg. Inflation.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Zen Belmont Apr 21

I call bullshit on this whole rant! You're deep lack of understanding of economics and the history of wage economics in this country is so epic level guided, uninformed, and uneducated that I don't think you can say anything with any credibility in this conversation anymore. You cannot point to one single solitary policy that Trump did that helped more this economy forward. He wrote the details of the machine in place created by Obama,you are a quack and nobody in this stream should ever read anything from you again

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Josh Mitteldorf Inspiration, Science, and Myst... Apr 10

I'm sure you know people who have gotten sick, and most Americans know someone who has died either from COVID or from the shots. Why wouldn't you believe there's a contagious pathogen with a nasty spike protein?

LIKE (4) REPLY (2) SHARE

Allen Apr 10

You aren't making any sense.

People get sick from all sorts of things. The question is why in the world would you or anyone attribute this to a computer generated fantasy brought into and made real via a propaganda.

I don't even attribute a "belief system" in regards to these matters I use critical thinking skills, 20 years of research and experience on these matters and thousands of hours of research on this particular operation.

"The spike" is another fairy tale. It is an artifact of trypsin being added to the cell culture medium, and the trypsin, whose function is to digest proteins, corrodes the outer protein layer of the alleged "virion" which then shows the characteristic crown like "spike protein" artifact, which they then draw scenes and so that to point to "the spike" in the artists rendition. They color it purple and let everyone who has deadly it is.

There is nothing legitimate about any of this.

Nowadays most of these images are nothing more than computer generated images representing an artist's impression.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

follow the silenced Apr 18

Some countries have maintained the pandemic through ignorance. There is only one way why this was possible.

You will never be told by your doctor that you can ignore a broken leg and the injury and its effects will disappear. Because a broken leg is a real event, but viruses are not. That's why it was possible to act effectively by ignoring it.

A distinction must also be made between the "measures" ordered by politicians and the reality of life. The politician, for example, who is still laughing in the unmasked group without distance and puts on the mask and the tragic facial expression as soon as the TV cameras start rolling. The wishful thinking of politicians and real actions in the world regularly drift apart. "Viruses that supposedly only jump forward, not sideways, and could clearly distinguish unwashed children's hands from unwashed hands of homeless people. Then there was deaths failed to materialize, in case anyone else had noticed. The fortunately few examples of complete mental confusion of people in health care in the supermarket were exceptions. Unvaccinated most people behaving normally as soon as they forgot about the subject, even though they imagined themselves to be particularly careful. Let's not hold ourselves, there were enough signs of mass hysteria without any real basis. You can't ignore that and you can't argue it away without logical gymnastics.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Maha The Fam Apr 13

You cant argue with conspiracy crazy. Their whole identity is wrapped up in being smarter than everyone else on the planet. Don't waste your time or energy. I will continue working with young children born of infected mothers with severe disabilities.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 13 Maha

I know, right? Who on earth are all those conspiracy theorists who believe all these things just happened when they say they did

https://twitter.com/jonathanengler/status/1379257388783420963

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Fagan 132 Apr 19

In 2007 the CDC published a paper warning against reliance on PCR to diagnose a disease, and against running too many cycles. It encouraged culturing for confirmation. That was in response to the 2006 "pertussis outbreak" in Texas, which turned out to be 100% pertussis-free. Between 2007 and 2020 the CDC ignored its own advice. Probably because the last employee with a sense of intellectual honesty had left by then. While its publicly-played tune changed, people there and throughout the kakistocracy obviously remembered the pertussis lesson, because the criminal misuse of PCR was the rango word for making it look like "cases" were exploding everywhere. And was the only one who thought it was a little suspicious that TPTB utterly dismissed Kary Mullis as misinformed about the utility of his own creation?

Event 201 and earlier whatever, the decades-long, incremental re-writing of the legal code (in the US, at least) to give DHS, HHS, and the DoD jurisdiction over anything remotely pathogen-related, the humiliation ritual of concocting the Biden-Harris ticket, the CIA psyops department-approved appeals to collective action to "fight" an invisible enemy. Put it all together and it might not have been instantly obvious, but it was obvious within a month or two that the hysteria was being deliberately whipped up over something that was either non-existent or extremely mild. And while those details and players took a while to emerge, in the broad strokes it was clear within "days" that the whole thing was a scam to encourage people to offer up their freedom. And they did. They couldn't renounce it fast enough. Ultimately "covid" was a moral prop quiz for the human species, and nearly everyone failed it.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Gaya Apr 19

Mullis could not have been clearer about the inappropriateness of using PCR as a diagnostic tool. He must have known they do, it'd love to talk to him now.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

henjin Apr 19

In the patent for PCR that was issued in 1988 to Kary Mullis et al., they wrote that one application for PCR would be to diagnose the presence of pathogenic microorganisms including viruses. [https://patents.google.com/patent/US4683195/en] Mullis was also the lead author of a patent from 1989 titled "Detection of viruses by amplification and hybridization", where they specifically wrote that PCR can be used to detect HIV, and they wrote that HIV has been sequenced and that there are isolates of HIV available. [https://patents.google.com/patent/US5176995/en] Kary Mullis was additionally one of the authors of a paper published in 1987 titled "Identification of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Sequences by Using in Vitro Enzymatic Amplification and Oligomer Cleavage Detection". [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2543753/pdf/jclinmicr00095-0042.pdf]

When Kary Mullis said that "quantitative PCR is an oxymoron", I believe he meant that PCR was not an accurate way to quantify viral load, and not that PCR was not an accurate way to determine whether a sample contains a virus or not. John Laursen wrote: "With regard to the viral load tests, which attempt to use PCR for counting viruses, Mullis has stated: "Quantitative PCR is an oxymoron." PCR is intended to identify substances quantitatively, but by its very nature is unsuited for estimating numbers." [https://www.rethinkaids.com/article/quantitative-hiv]

In an interview with Gary Null, Mullis also said: "PCR came along right about the same time that HIV did. And it was that [unintelligible word] that people started looking with PCR for HIV. That was the only way to do it, except for culture. Which was a long-protracted procedure, which a lot of times didn't turn right. [...] The culture - the whole method - cell biology is a bunch of magic half of the time. And people who say they can't do the quantitative estimations of HIV from culture, they just - they're fooling themselves." [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BDCc7vNZL1M, time 9:05] But by "quantitative estimations", I think Mullis was again talking about estimating viral load.

HIV is typically detected using antigen or antibody tests and not PCR, but the main use of PCR tests in the area of HIV was traditionally to measure viral load. Tests for HIV are commonly called "NAT tests" or nucleic acid tests, and they are further divided into quantitative and non-quantitative NAT tests depending on whether they are intended to measure viral load, even though some NAT tests for HIV also use transcription-mediated amplification instead of PCR. So the typical use of PCR tests for HIV is different from the typical use of PCR tests for COVID.

The CDC's website says that "Most rapid tests and the only HIV self-test approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) are antibody tests." [https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/basics/hiv-testing/index.html] And the CDC's website also says that a NAT test "can tell if a person has HIV or how much virus is present in the blood (HIV viral load test)" and that a NAT test "should be considered for people who have had a recent exposure or a possible exposure and have early symptoms of HIV and who have tested negative with an antibody or antigen/antibody test" (so testing a NAT test is used as a fallback for antibody and antigen tests).

In the year 2006 in Australia, there was a court case where someone was convicted of three counts of endangering life after he had sex with women without telling them that he was HIV positive. [https://web.archive.org/web/20070705074432/http://www.ncf.carleton.ca/~bz050/HIV/AIDS-Pancoast.txt] He appealed by stating that HIV had been proven to exist, and testimony in his trial was provided by Eleni Papadopoulos-Eleopulos and Valendar Turner from the Perth Group. Kary Mullis's comments about PCR were brought up the Perth Group. Mullis was sent an e-mail which said: "I am assisting the prosecution in an Appeal to the Supreme court in South Australia about a conviction for criminal transmission of HIV. The Crown in Appeal is that HIV does not exist and that the PCR technology is flawed. So in effect the technical basis for identification of virus is on trial. The group of denialists giving evidence are people from Perth who quote you as indicating that PCR technology is erroneous and misleading. Can I ask you to comment on this statement."

[https://web.archive.org/web/20070705074432/http://www.ncf.carleton.ca/~bz050/HIV/AIDS-Pancoast.txt] Mullis responded by writing: "I will not try to convince anyone that PCR can be used successfully to specifically make multiple copies of any nucleic acid sequence that can be unique to a particular virus, or any "prime" sequences" comprising the tenets of the sequence of interest. The veracity of this no longer has anything to do with me. I think this has been confirmed by a huge number of laboratories around the world. The rapid spread of this simple technology would not have occurred had it been ineffectual or flawed in any persistent way." And Mullis wrote in an interview that "the AIDS/HIV issue is what is not settled scientifically, not the effectiveness of PCR".

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon · Apr 9

What a well written post which, to the casual observer, appears to counteract what Mullis said repeatedly, that PCR is completely unsuitable as a clinical diagnostic tool.

However, appearances are deceptive.

1.Inclusion of a claim in a patent application, even if granted, is not at all the same as the claim being proven to be valid. As a biologist in the pharmaceutical industry, I filed a number of "method of use" patents containing claims that a drug of mechanism A, combined with a drug of mechanism B was a novel, non-obvious solution to certain pathological conditions. It's relevant that I wasn't required to prove these claims, at least to a standard capable of bearing the load implied by the word prove. Illustrative data was sufficient on at least two occasions for the application grant, whereupon I let the patents lapse. My aim from the beginning was not to secure exclusive use of a medicinal combination of A + B. It was to ensure "freedom to operate", by blocking others from making the same claim. My abandonment created "prior art", precedents that, by removing novelty for similar claims in the future, ensured that anyone with drugs of two mechanisms A & B could not be blocked by a 3rd party claim which, at the time, was rife. The conclusion I invite the reader to draw is that just because a claim is present in an apparently valid, granted patent in no way establishes that the specific claim has the utility claimed for it. The word of patents is one all of its own rules, conventions and contradictions.

2. The importance of distinction between being able to determine the quantity of something as contrasted with detecting something qualitatively cannot be overstated. In the context of the claim to have detected a particular pathogen, the quantitative analysis is of no diagnostic value whatsoever. None. The reason is simple. The degree of amplification

Expand full comment

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon · Apr 9

Dang. Crucial typo. I wrote

"In the context of the claim to have detected a particular pathogen, the quantitative analysis is of no diagnostic value whatsoever".

I intended to write:

"In the context of the claim to have detected a particular pathogen, the QUALITATIVE analysis is of no diagnostic value whatsoever".

My thin excuse is the late hour.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon · Apr 9

Dang #2. I wrote:

"It is for this reason that quantification is crucial to the use of any lethal threshold, for example, in relation to driving a vehicle".

I intended to write:

"It is for this reason that quantification is crucial to the use of any LEGAL threshold, for example, in relation to driving a vehicle".

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

henjin Apr 10

You wrote that "The quantitative analysis is of no diagnostic value whatsoever". But let's say there's 100 possible levels of diagnostic value between 0 and 100. Would the value really be zero? If the majority of people have zero viruses of SARS-CoV-2 in their body but everyone with a COVID infection has at least one virion, then wouldn't it have some kind of diagnostic value if you were able to detect whether a sample from a person has nonzero virions?

Genome sequencing is more expensive than PCR, so if for example a research group has hundreds of samples from tests and they are looking for a coronavirus in the samples, they might first run PCR on the samples to detect which samples are positive for a conserved region of the genome like the RdRp, and then could do sequencing only for the samples that were positive. But if PCR has value in detecting whether a sample contains a virus or not, why would researchers rely on it to decide which samples they should sequence, because a false positive would result in a waste of money?

List of 2023 performed metagenomic sequencing for 172 environmental samples from the Huanan Seafood Market out of which 60 tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 using PCR, as you can see from supplementary table 1 here: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-023-06043-x. This file shows how many reads each run had which aligned against the reference genome of SARS-CoV-2: https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/reports/Studies/ERP141000_ERP141999/ERP141029/ERP141029_aligned_fq_run.xls. Generally the runs with one or more read of SARS2 also tested positive using PCR and the reads with no reads of SARS2 tested

Expand full comment

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

DrMikeYeadon Apr 10

I wrote "qualitative", correcting my typo in a reply to my own post.

You're so far off the mark that typing a reply is not a good use of my time or yours.

If you're well intentioned, please disabuse yourself of the notion that anything that's happened in recent years is straightforward and benign.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Gaya Apr 19

Thank you! I love seeing this because I cannot find the video in which though Mullis said it was not suitable to use as it has been for the past four years. Pfizer won't talk about cycles.) Thank you for the clarification. It appears they've attempted to memory-hole some of my my favorite Mullis videos, and I bet the one I which he talks about what fast friends he was with the venerable Fauci-there is really gone.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Bill Rice, Jr. Bill Rice, Jr. · Apr 9 Liked by Jonathan Engler

If I remember correctly, the first "confirmed" "Covid death" in America was recorded on Feb 29, 2020 in Washington state.

Sixty days later this virus had produced - I can't remember from Jessica's stories - 14,000 "Covid deaths" in just one city (NYC)?

Someone is going to have to convince me how this could be possible. All of these claims insult my intelligence.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Leo Bodio Wanna Work · Apr 9

I completely agree, buying almost any part of these recent narratives was an insult to one's intelligence from outset. I often wonder if it was designed to see, as a form of individual/societal humiliation and control.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Bill Rice, Jr. Bill Rice, Jr.'s Newsletter · Apr 10

I've belatedly come to believe one of the main goals of the Pandemic Planners (or the Deep State) was to ramp up the Censorship Industrial Complex to almost Orwellian proportions.

My premise is that these people and organizations KNOW they can't implement the rest of their nefarious agenda unless all meaningful Auto dissent is throttled. Basically, this was a pro-active 3D chess move to make it more likely our leaders obtain almost total control going forward.

This effort has never stopped and continues even with Missouri v. Biden in front of the Supreme Court.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

John K Apr 11

That resonates with me. It now appears the job had many goals they wanted to achieve including depopulation, but total control is likely the most important one.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Bill Rice, Jr. Bill Rice, Jr.'s Newsletter · Apr 19

Thanks, John. And they can't have "total control" unless they throttle persuasive dissent that would prevent this goal. If depopulation is the real most-important goal to these evil people and their reals goals, they must achieve maximum censorship.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Jessica Hockett Wood House 76 Apr 9

Depends on data source, but 19,300 or so deaths with COVID-19 as underlying cause in NYC in 11 weeks. Closer to 21K if it's COVID-19 anywhere on the death certificate. Then 6K or so "extra" deaths that don't blame COVID at all.

Laughable

LIKE (8) REPLY (1) SHARE

Bill Rice, Jr. Bill Rice Jr.'s Newsletter Apr 9

I need to save this data/statistics. That claim is laughable ... and you are about the only citizen journalist pointing this out.

LIKE (4) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jessica Hockett Wood House 76 Apr 9 · *edited Apr 9*

Just about only person in America - and only American on "our side" (Todd Kenyon of PANDA excepted) - as far as I know.

Jonathan and I are trying :) https://vimeo.com/928895517

We tried with Naomi Wolf too. https://www.woodhouse76.com/p/we-think-the-nyc-2020-death-all-cause?utm_source=substack&utm_campaign=post_embed&utm_medium=web

LIKE (9) REPLY (1) SHARE

skintnick Apr 10

I love JJC and listen to his gigaohm episodes whenever possible. But, his tweets are just... weird! And Mathew Crawford - who I trust equally - is pretty weird. But I sense they are both super-smart and wonder

a) Why JJ in particular is not contributing to this discussion, and

b) What is going on between the 2 of them? (do we have some fragile egos at play here?)

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jessica Hockett Wood House 76 Apr 10

I can't speak to your questions, but I should have said that JJC does draw much attention to the NYC event, and Mark Kulacz has too.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

follow the silenced Apr 18

Agreed 110%. If you give people without health insurance the opportunity to use all hospital services free of charge for the first time in their lives, I think that does something to people. I can therefore easily explain the flood of alleged covid patients. The slogan was the door opener, and the hospitals gratefully accepted it because they needed human material for the production. This was provided by promising free treatment to all panicked patients and bonus payments to the clinics. A win-win situation for those who staged the whole thing. However, in reality, there was no trace of mass deaths outside of clinics or outside of the influence of medical staff.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

George Burn George's Newsletter Apr 9 Liked by Jonathan Engler

"After all, as we have argued, the actual escape of something from a lab is not required to generate a "pandemic"; the mere seeding of the narrative of escape, rollout of testing and resultant social contagion is all that is needed". This is the most powerful part of the article - it gets round the argument as to whether there was such a c19 pandemic. Even if you suspect/believe there was a virus that caused nasty flulike symptoms, it doesn't mean one was required to perpetuate the scam. I believe there may have been a virus as described, but don't know this - but most of the scam was pulled off outside the "virus" element

LIKE (13) REPLY (1) SHARE

Bard Joseph Joseph's Substack Apr 9

What's a virus?

Flu is caused by EMF in the atmosphere. That why people always die with "flu like symptoms".

The body is electric, diseased by atmospheric changes like sunspot activity every 11 years.

The "current" peak cycle began when the scamdemic began in 2019.

LIKED (7) REPLY SHARE

Bill Rice, Jr. Bill Rice Jr.'s Newsletter Apr 9 Liked by Jonathan Engler

Substacker Freedom Fox has made several interesting posts, pointing out that the flu shot for the 2019-2020 flu season was significantly different than previous flu shots. He also speculates this flu shot might have CAUSED a big increase in people with ILI symptoms. (Some speculate Covid might have been introduced via this shot.)

I've pointed out that myself and my two children got sick with flu-like symptoms in January 2020 (we were all negative for the flu). That is, I didn't think the flu shot could have caused us to have "early Covid" because we didn't get the (worthless) flu shot. However, he points out that if people were more prone to get sick after this new version of the shot - and they were shedding this virus - we could have gotten our illness through this mechanism.

It's an interesting theory and I agree the "new" or "different" flu shot hasn't gotten enough attention.

LIKE (10) REPLY (6) SHARE

Allen Apr 10 Liked by Jonathan Engler

Do you have a ink to those pieces?

Many shots have changed and are changing, moving away from "egg based."

It is also true that more shots now are multivalent which are proven to be more dangerous than the usual poisons. This is done primarily for marketing and uptake purposes as more and more shots are put on the vaxx schedule.

Racketeering.

LIKE (5) REPLY (2) SHARE

Bill Rice, Jr. Bill Rice Jr.'s Newsletter Apr 10

Here's one long post Freedom Fox made at my newsletter April 8th. It was in the thread under my story on "Deborah Birx gets her close-up."

This publication describes a brand-new style of flu vaccine that came online for the 2019-2020 flu season. Mammalian cell-based instead of egg-based. Claims that it was studied for efficacy...but no mention of safety trials:

https://pharmaceutical-journal.com/article/news/first-cell-based-quadrivalent-vaccine-available-for-2019-2020-flu-season

"A new cell-based seasonal influenza vaccine has been issued marketing approval by the European Commission and will be available for the 2019/2020 flu season.

FlucelvaxÂ® Tetra (Seqirus) is the first cell-based quadrivalent influenza vaccine (QIVc) to be made available in Europe and is licensed for use in individuals aged nine years and older.

To date, there have been no randomised controlled trials comparing the efficacy of QIVc and standard egg-based quadrivalent vaccines (QIVe)"

"This real-world study, along with other emerging evidence, indicates that cell-based influenza vaccines may result in better influenza-related outcomes compared to standard egg-based vaccine options in some seasons"

"In the UK, the potential advantages of QIVc, which is cultured in mammalian cells rather than eggs"

"We are pleased to be bringing Flucelvax Tetra to the UK next season and have sufficient capacity at our cell-based manufacturing facility in the US to also ensure supply in September

Expand full comment

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Jennifer Heaven Apr 10

Late 2019. The Italian quadrivalent flu shot was administered containing a coronavirus adjunct.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Elizabeth Hart Vaccination is political Apr 9

Also, see this article, particularly in regard to older people's immune systems... There are new flu vaccines on offer for 2024. Should I get one? What do I need to know?

https://www.doherty.edu.au/news-events/news/new-flu-vaccines-on-offer-2024-should-i-get-one-what-do-i-need-to-know

(I don't trust any of this stuff now...)

LIKE (5) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jennifer Heaven Apr 10

Don't bother to take it. It is not at all clear flu vaccines have done anything other than poison you with adjuvants and endotoxins - of one kind or another.

LIKE (5) REPLY SHARE

Elizabeth Hart Vaccination is political Apr 9

And I'm sharing again retired paediatrician Allan Cunningham's BMJ rapid response from March 2020: Flu shots and the risk of coronavirus infections:

https://www.bmj.com/content/368/bmj.m810/rr-0

LIKE (4) REPLY SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 9

So (cut and paste from above):

"Were the Emergency and ICU doctors on Zoom in Lombardy and NYC reading from a script?"

(Jessica Hockett says they were.)

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Jessica Hockett Wood House 76 Apr 9

I try :) https://x.com/wood_house76/status/1739668584773677114?s=46

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Alex Power the Tisatsar Newslettr Apr 12

OH COME ON.

There were no excess deaths in 2020, but there also were excess deaths in 2020 because of the flu vaccine, and also also the statistics that show excess deaths were fake?

I can't take this bullshit. And Substack is promoting this crap blog.

I am done with this fucking shithole of a platform.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

clover3d Apr 10

The whole scamdemic can be summed up in one question: where did the flu go in 2020?

LIKE (12) REPLY (1) SHARE

Baldmichael Baldmichael's Letter from Engla... Apr 12

'flu up the chimney with people's brains.

https://baldmichael.substack.com/p/long-stupid

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Christine Massey FOIs Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Apr 9

There is no evidence that "viruses" can be engineered so as to have dangerous pandemic potential because there never has been any valid scientific evidence of any "viruses" to begin with, full stop. All one has to do is read the foundational "isolation" studies. So why is "PANDA" still failing to admit this crucial point that has widespread ramifications throughout society?

LIKE (12) REPLY (4) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 9 Author

If you were more tolerant of people who are clearly trying their utmost to blow holes in a deeply embedded narrative and get the most people possible questioning the establishment's version of events, perhaps you might get more people willing to debate with you.

As it is, don't you think this aggressively dismissive and absolutist attitude might be putting some people off engaging with your position, and thus actually be counterproductive to your aims?

LIKE (6) REPLY (2) SHARE

Christine Massey FOIs Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Apr 9

They aren't trying their utmost, that's the problem. They could have read the foundational studies in an afternoon and told the truth, but haven't.

And I don't need anyone to "debate" with me. I challenge people to back up their "virus" claims with valid scientific evidence and they can't. And no, I don't think that pointing out facts, logic and evidence is counterproductive - quite the opposite.

LIKE (10) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jessica Hockett Wood House 76 Apr 9 Liked by Jonathan Engler

How does "no viruses" help further explain what actually happened in New York City or Northern Italy more than saying "there was no spread of a novel risk-additive pathogen"?

It doesn't.

We are still left with having to explain the events on the ground and discerning (for example) fraud from Democide.

LIKE (6) REPLY (1) SHARE

Christine Massey FOIs Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Apr 10

No, you don't need to explain anything in order to refute a claim. The onus is/was on those making the positive claim of a "virus" and pandemic, and they have nothing to even get started with.

The lack of "virus" evidence makes clear that none of the tests could possibly have been validated, and every diagnosis was meaningless, and everything about "covid-19" was a hoax. Further, when people realize the core fraud (that no one even has a sample of these alleged "virus" particles to study), they come to realize that all of virology is built on pseudoscience and that we've been misled our entire lives about colds, flus, measles, HIV, HPV, etc etc etc.

There is nothing wrong with showing that the fraud-based data didn't make sense even within the "viruses are real" paradigm. But concealing or refusing to even look at the core fraud that has lead to massive carnage for well over 100 years while pretending to be "a multidisciplinary, sense-making group of global EXPERTS who encourage GOOD SCIENCE, RATIONAL DEBATE and SOUND PUBLIC POLICY, with the aim of empowering people to make INFORMED DECISIONS" is in my view despicable and enables more of what we just lived through not to mention all the day-in day-out harm that is caused by all manner of useless jabs, toxic "anti-viral" drugs, fraudulent tests, baseless fear, etc, etc.

LIKE (4) REPLY SHARE

Sanjoy Mahajan Sanjoy's Newsletter Apr 12

The "aggressively dismissive and absolutist attitude" is evident more in your reply than in Christine's comment. She merely stated a fact, that the "virus" isolation studies are pseudoscience, and asked a relevant follow-up question, namely why PANDA still tiptoes around that issue. I don't see the aggression -- except in your saying that asking a relevant question is "intolerant" and "aggressively dismissive."

And I would still like to know the answer to Christine's question and to a further question: Why does PANDA not only tiptoe around the issue but also imply that the Convid virus exists? For example, in the summary: "There is no evidence that viruses can be engineered" (point 2a) or "lab-leaks happen all the time" (point 2a). Or: "The virus ... [was] certainly not 'novel'" (point 2c).

You are, albeit subtly, reversing the burden of proof. If this reversal were to be accepted, we would have to prove, for each alleged pandemic, that it was NOT due to a pathogen. Only afterwards would we be allowed investigate the real causes. However, the burden of proof is on people claiming the existence of an entity. Christine is merely reminding you and others of this logical truth.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon Apr 9 Liked by Jonathan Engler

I interpreted the use of the word in inverted commas to indicate that "virus" here is standing in for a concept which the authors are not claiming is to be interpreted in the way the word virus would be.

My answer to your question is that merely explaining patiently to people that no virus has ever been established to exist has, in my experience, no persuasive power at all. It's illogical, I know. Nevertheless, my experience is to have persuaded no person in this way.

When instead I explain how the deception was almost certainly done, I have persuaded large numbers of people to whom the whole thing felt "off" to them from the very beginning.

Put a different way, I'm trying to persuade people that we are under long planned, relentless, lethal attack. I use what works best empirically in those attempts. It has been my experience that going after the central lie isn't effective. Wish it was. It would make all of our lives simpler, and freer.

LIKE (16) REPLY (2) SHARE

Christine Massey FOIs Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Apr 10

Hi Dr. Yeadon. "PANDA" didn't even use inverted commas here, it was only me who used them in responding. "PANDA" wrote:

"There is no evidence that viruses can be engineered so as to have dangerous pandemic potential – lab-leaks happen all the time."

So they clearly implied that "viruses" exist and leak from labs all the time.

Many people have come to realize the false nature of virology, so clearly there are ways of communicating the facts to enable said realization. Laying out how meaningless "virus genomes" are fabricated on computers, the fake "virus isolation" methods, the bogus animals studies and point-and-declare EM gimmicks has opened a lot of eyes. I'm not saying it's your job to do this, just that it can be done.

(I don't know if you are part of PANDA or not, or who is behind it aside from Nick Hudson, or who at PANDA recently published the hour-long defense of the virology pseudoscience by Jennifer Smith and Mary Hauser. But you in your personal capacity have made clear statements about the lack of valid evidence for respiratory viruses - kudos to you - so my comments weren't directed to you but this "PANDA" entity that to my knowledge still consistently skirts the core issue and props up the pseudoscience.)

LIKE (4) REPLY (2) SHARE

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon Apr 28

And thank you. For me it was the total failure to show contagion, which ought to have been trivially easy, that abruptly forced my opinion to change to accommodate this new to me information.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon Apr 28

I'm not part of anything (other than I'm a friend of long standing of Dr Elizabeth "Lee" Vliet, who was incredibly kind to me and my wife during our fraught but legal entry to the USA late in 2021). Honouring that, I record a blog weekly under the "Whistleblower report" for her Truth For Health Foundation, and showing independence, Lee and I have different opinions on viruses!).

I'm not a joiner. I never realised now much I dislike being part of a movement or organisation until this era. I'm amazed I managed a largely corporate career.

If one joins something, you're more or less obliged to hold certain tenets and exclude others. I'm evolving my personal opinions so rapidly that I'd annoy any entity I joined. So I don't join anything, except the Leave Us Alone movement.

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Christine Massey FOIs Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Apr 28

I hear you!

LIKE REPLY SHARE

MkElven Apr 9 · *edited Apr 9*

Hi Mike... I expressed the same need for reservation, perspective and compassion for people as they come to reflect and enquire about the nature of contagion and dis~ease in your Telegram Chat... as well as commentary about the psychological/spiritual war that we are in, with positive insights and potentials, only to be insulted, bullied and removed from the group by admin Tim West...

He is on a pathological powertrip and is doing far more harm than good...

The guy is 'black pilled'...

He treats loads of people appallingly, he baits and antagonises... he is then fickle and callous with any push back... trigger happy on cancelling people out of the chat for differences or dissent...

My handle on Telegram is @Jyaru

My channel is @BonfireBeach if you want to check in and see that I'm a good, legit person

Be great to hear from you

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

DrMikeYeadon Apr 9

I understand your point of view.

If this was normal business, a peaceful time, I'd probably want to shift the balance of comments, not only by the moderator.

But we're at war. I've reviewed several complaints. All those I've reviewed are catalysed by chaos agents. It's a very difficult thing to manage. If you've not been in that position, I understand why you might be upset.

My well intentioned advice is, if it's too unpleasant, by all means direct your thoughts and input to any other part of 99.999999% of the universe of commenting opportunities where the environment is different.

Peace :)

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

MkElven Apr 9

Ok... cheers Mike

I very much have been and am in the admin/mod seat, I know the gig...

I just felt you should know whats happening...

It was unacceptable behaviour to the point that I was repelled to participate anymore and willfully shared my peace knowing he would cancel and erase me in cowardice...

There is no excuse at all for him...

I actually was just updating my original post as you replied...

You will notice I'm well aware of the war... Long time 'awake' here...

LIKE (3) REPLY (2) SHARE

DrMikeYeadon Apr 10

Fight whenever you can!

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon Apr 28

Thank you for your honourable efforts, by the way.

Life is imperfect. Hopefully we'll survive the war.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Jennifer Heaven Apr 10

Well, yes, there is that. I suspect viruses are a product of cell poisoning. Now bacteria can do a lot of damage and I would guess refusing antibiotics for a "viral" infection might cause a surfeit of preventable deaths. From, ahem, bacterial pneumonia.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Christine Massey FOIs Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Apr 11 · *edited Apr 11*

No one can even show that "viruses" exist as described (with the purported "genomes" and proteins). The virologists never purify the particles that they pass off as viruses, in order to sequence and characterize them in a valid manner. All they do is point at tiny particles in stressed cell cultures and declare they are "viruses", and make up meaningless "genomes". Sounds like you know about this :)

No one seems to have any evidence that bacteria are the cause of any disease either. They get blamed simple because of their presence, when in fact they may very well be playing a remedial role. When you look at studies that purportedly demonstrated pathogenicity they turn out to be unscientific and illogical. We have FOIA responses on this topic as well:

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/do-health-authorities-have-studies-proving-that-bacteria-cause-disease-lets-find-out-via-freedom-of-information/

Cheers

LIKE (4) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jennifer Heaven Apr 11

There appear to be friendly and unfriendly bacteria; fungus, why do we forget fungus? And other parasites. I do not think you want an infestation of any of them. Purported viruses are just sub microscopic strands of genetic material so possibly from cell apoptosis or function as messengers between cells. Their origin is in fact unknown. I can well believe they are not the cause of any illness in particular and merely an effect of some biochemical transaction.

LIKE REPLY (2) SHARE

Christine Massey FOIs Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Apr 11

Where is there scientific evidence to support the notion of unfriendly bacteria, or harmful fungi? I don't want large amounts of them because to me that would indicate that my body was already out of balance and they have responded to the changed conditions. I'm not aware of any valid evidence that they would harm me.

Re "viruses", there are tiny particles pointed at in EM images of stressed cell cultures, but they've not been purified, sequenced and characterized. So no one can show that SARS-COV-2, measles virus, HPV, HIV or any other so-called "virus" even exists as described. We are told elaborate stories about these purported particles and how they can be tested for and treated, but their existence remains purely hypothetical.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jennifer Heaven Apr 11

I like the idea that we are a "forest" of microbes in symbiosis. That some of those microbes, particularly in the gut, perform essential chemical functions. How to stay in balance? Our systems may be poisoned from time to time and sometimes the damage is irrecoverable. I agree the HIV business was the first major con. I read AIDS inc by Rappaport published back in the 80's. Fauci and his repurposed cancer drug AZT. Will we learn from this latest COVID episode?

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Christine Massey FOIs Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Apr 12

If you delve into the history of virology you'll find con after con after con... that's all they have! Cheers.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Christine Massey FOIs Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Apr 11

p.s. if you're open-minded, and you seem like you are, you might be interested in how German New Medicine views Tb bacteria and fungi. I'm not saying they are correct and I'm just starting to learn about this aspect of GNM. It's very interesting:

https://www.bitchute.com/video/uPuZVc5H4wwm/

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jennifer Heaven Apr 11

Thank you.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

John H. Apr 9

What is PANDA and does it not address the no viruses exist at all position or does it claim that viruses exist and cause disease in humans and animals? I can't find a reference to PANDA that has to do with viruses.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Christine Massey FOIs Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Apr 9

Supposedly PANDA is "Pa multidisciplinary, sense-making group of global experts who encourage good science, rational debate and sound public policy, with the aim of empowering people to make informed decisions"... but their "experts" can't be bothered to read the foundational pseudoscience and admit that it's pseudoscience, and so they always skirt around the issue instead. They won't address the fake "virus isolation", "sequencing" etc that was used to establish the imaginary existence of "the virus" in the first place.

They referenced "the virus" in points 2, 3, 5 and 6.

https://pandata.org/

LIKE (6) REPLY (1) SHARE

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon Apr 9

Please see my remarks on the utility of the central lie.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Jessica Hockett Wood House 76 Apr 9

Every. Single. Aspect.

LIKE (11) REPLY (1) SHARE

John H. Apr 9

To what does this refer and what does it mean?

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jessica Hockett Wood House 76 Apr 9

The core claim in the title.

"Every single aspect of the Covid narrative is fake."

I agree.

LIKE (10) REPLY (1) SHARE

John H. Apr 9

Among other things, do you mean "no respiratory infectious/communicable disease of any kind was circulating anywhere in 2020 that was new (whether allegedly viral, bacterial, fungal, other)?

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jessica Hockett Wood House 76 Apr 9 · *edited Apr 9*

You are asking me - or baiting me - to account for everything on God's green Earth, which I cannot and will not do.

I do not see evidence that the agent that govt claims was "new" was "new," risk-additive, "spreading" from person to person, etc. was any of those things. Nor do I see evidence of a public health emergency (or an emergency of any kind).

LIKE (8) REPLY (2) SHARE

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon Apr 9

Well said. Forensically written.

LIKE (7) REPLY SHARE

John H. Apr 10

Thank you for your reply. For the sake of clarity, I wrote it in the way I did because it is theoretically possible that some people in certain locations became ill due to 5G (electromagnetic radiation injury) and not from any kind of biological organisms/agent(s).

Your explanation directly above is very helpful as I now believe I understand what you are saying. I think your answer to my question is yes, but it is still possible that EMR related injuries occurred in specific locations due to the fact that 5G was being brought on-line in those locations, e.g. Wuhan, Lombardy, NYC, Washington state, even though it did not constitute a pandemic or any kind of naturally occurring event.

Electromagnetic non-ionizing radiation has been known to cause physiologic abnormalities for decades. The shorter the wavelength the more likely it is to cause physiologic disruption. 5G worse than 4G, 6G worse than 5G and so. Assuming the ptb wanted to fake a pandemic, they could have used multiple means for doing so, including EMR, strategically applied.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jessica Hockett Wood House 76 Apr 10

I will entertain just about any theory, but I've yet to see a 5G/EMR theorist use timeseries data of various kinds from those locations to explain what they believe occurred.

King/Snohomish Counties (Seattle area) actually did NOT see high excess death in spring 2020.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

John H. Apr 10

I understand. What I'm wondering about is whether 5G/EMR related injury is part of the explanation for what occurred in Lombardy and New York City or are there multiple other factors which produced an increase in morality/morbidity, in-hospital in those locations such as EMR induced oxy-hemoglobin dissociation with marked clinical desaturation (a theoretical possibility)? We may have to bracket Wuhan due to incomplete data.

Iatrogenicity appears to have been a significant factor producing elevated mortality/morbidity in New York and I presume many other locations.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jessica Hockett Wood House 76 Apr 10

Define 5G/EMR injury and in which data point(s) you suspect it would manifest itself

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

John H. Apr 11

It has been reported that when oxygen in the atmosphere absorbs millimeter waves in the 60GHz range, it does not bind as readily to the hemoglobin molecule in blood. Therefore, clinically significant hypoxia is to be expected should this be deployed. It would be manifested in patients by reduced O2 levels on arterial blood gas determinations (in the hospital setting) and markedly reduced O2 saturation (on now commonly employed digital pulse-oximeters, the noninvasive way to estimate oxygen saturation). These changes could occur very early in affected patients without other signs such as the ground-glass diffuse pulmonary infiltrates seen on standard chest X rays and CT scans which were reported by clinicians caring for afflicted patients in early 2020. These individuals would complain of severe shortness of breath and not yet have evidence of pulmonary/cardiac pathology or severe systemic inflammatory response (what has been called severe hyperinflammatory response or cytokine storm).

Of special note is that intensivists found it very difficult to correct the marked degree of hypoxia in some patients even after intubation and mechanical ventilation with high concentrations of inspired oxygen, something that had not been experienced in the past with respiratory failure thought

Expand full comment

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

John H. Apr 12

Are there any Pulmonologists, Cardiothoracic surgeons, Intensivists or others with clinical experience in treating patients who wish to opine on this?

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Jack Williams Jack's Substack Apr 10

No virus exists! Scientifically proven by the Baileys. The entire cast of characters fronting for the fraud of virology have been verry effective in fooling the sheep into thinking they are heroes! They were put in place to protect the multi-trillion-dollar virology fraud. The misdirection has millions believing that a secret Labatory in China developed a virus into a bioweapon, then released it. All deflection from the truth. If no virus exists, then no viral outbreak of a virus. The entire medical establishment has been corrupted since the early 1900s, and nothing has changed for the better so why would you believe in anything they say now?

LIKE (9) REPLY (2) SHARE

Gunther Heinz Gunther's Substack Apr 10

Virus theory, like any theory involving something we can´t see (like ATOMS) may sometimes fit an observation, and sometimes may not. The Baileys, who some people greatly admire and others do not, may or may not be grifters. The deeper you go into the subject, the more dogmatic they appear to be. Perhaps they have to SELL something. Since I have nothing to ANTI-SELL (being only an amateur observer), I consider them harmless and interesting.

LIKE (2) REPLY (2) SHARE

Jack Williams Jack's Substack Apr 10

How about Unicorn theory, germ theory? do you believe in the scientific method? If so, then there is no scientific proof that a virus exists, and the scientific method is not used to find this elusive Unicorn called virus. Have you seen the videos or are you just a virology protector? You are not a deep thinker obviously or you would not make these false statements. You are right about being an amateur observer. What you are saying is that you know nothing about nothing. Is this your product that you are trying to sell me? I am all full up with crazy, so no thanks I am more informed than you think. Every truth teller has been smeared by the cabal and their minions. A word about the unseen. if a virus did exist, they can be seen by modern microscopes, and this has been proven with a micro ship that is smaller than the creature called virus. What they call virus is nothing but dead cells that they killed in a Petre dish. No isolation, no exact reproduction of particles that are exact copies of the false virus. There should be millions of them all looking exactly the same. Doesn't happen.

LIKE (7) REPLY (1) SHARE

Gunther Heinz Gunther's Substack Apr 10

It´s not my place to prove anything. What I can do is evaluate the tone of your response. Generally, the more confident a person is regarding what they´re talking about, the less aggressive their attitude in regard to any dissenting point of view. That´s why it´s generally young people that will raise their voice against a speaker, and not the speaker screaming at students. The Bailey's have yet to show WHAT they might agree with, from the other side. This is actually a crucial step, not only in science, but also in human relationships in general.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jack Williams Jack's Substack Apr 11

So, are you a psychologist? Just exactly what is your area of expertise? Disinformation guardian for the state. You present no logical argument concerning the Baileys research and you give no indication that you watched their information that has been researched and found to be historically correct, so mansplain this in detail to one so ignorant as I. Oh, thanks for diagnosing my delusional outlook! I need smart people like you to put me back on the sanity track. GFYS

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Gunther Heinz Gunther's Substack Apr 11

You were NOT mentioned in my post, by the way. As for Mark Bailey, recently I watched one of his debates, where he categorically refused to advance an alternative explanation for the thing he was criticizing, claiming that such a discussion was not part of the topics open for discussion. Most of the "debate" was about him refusing do discuss what was not part of the debate. This is not really a debate. It's more like a legal deposition.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jack Williams Jack's Substack Apr 11

Just exactly where can I find this debate? If your point is that Bailey is incorrect about virology, then you are wrong. If you have actually viewed just how these frauds find a virus it becomes clear even to a layman what a farce it is. Please send me the link to this debate.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Gunther Heinz Gunther's Substack Apr 11 · *edited Apr 11*

https://www.bitchute.com/video/Ni4eWL8PNQIW/

There's a very readable book called "THE GHOST MAP", about the story of the Dr. John Snow, who set out to investigate in London in the 1850's, his idea that cholera was caused by contaminated water. This was NOT THE ESTABLISHMENT VIEW at the time, which attributed cholera to polluted air. He was able to demonstrate a very strong correlation between water and cholera, but because he couldn´t show WHAT was contaminating the water, he was not taken seriously. With the Bailey´s we have this sort of phenomenon in reverse: The establishment is very CERTAIN of this thing called a virus, and has moved ever further in that direction. Keep in mind that IT TOOK DECADES until the technology was available to vindicate Dr. John Snow.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jack Williams Jack's Substack Apr 11

Show me a virus! Send a photo of a virus replicating itself by millions of copies. All they ever show is a few dead cells that appear to be the same and we all know that when any pathogen replicates itself every copy looks the same. Please explain how virologist find a virus. The Baileys have shown exactly how they do it. I await your photo.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Gunther Heinz Gunther's Substack Apr 12

Take the case of a protein. Any protein. They are generally presented VISUALLY has having a very specific solid shape, it´s as if the thing has a hard outer shell. For instance, the spike protein. In fact, what is being described is a function, a "spiking" function. If you could somehow shrink down to a molecular size and observe what´s going on amongst the cells, your EYES could not identify one protein from another. You would be in a giant soup of molecules that arrange themselves in ways that defy mechanical description. Unfortunately, mechanical description is sometimes the ONLY ANALOGY available when describing. From what I understand of molecular biology, the microscope has been retired for quite some time. Evidence of this or that virus is now a process of identifying specific sequences of genetic material THAT CANNOT BE ASCRIBED TO SOMETHING ELSE. Look into it and you will see that it does make sense. Now, there is also a lot of criticism to be leveled at the process when used in MEDICINE, and that´s where the Bailey's come in. But they never really address the fundamental mechanics of what they call GERM THEORY. Anyway, don´t wait for a photo.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jack Williams Jack's Substack Apr 12

If you know and have seen the process that virologist use it is easy to understand the fraud. They tell the computer what they are looking for and then it produces multiple partial strands of DNA sequences, then fills in the blanks, calling this the virus. There is no way this is

scientific. Blending Green African monkey kidney samples, and nothing but the green monkey and only the kidney not the lungs with bovine serum, human tissue, then bombarding this sludge with antibiotics and other chemical agents that naturally kill all the cells in this concoction, then looking at them under the electron microscope, identifying a few dead cells as a virus! please this is alchemy, not science. It is a fraud and if you actually knew this you would say so. Tell me what is not accurate in the Baileys videos that show this fraud plainly.

LIKE · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Continue Thread →

Jack Williams Jack's Substack Apr 11

It just dawned on me that what you said about virus theory made no sense. So, virologist have stated that they have found many viruses and photographed them to include the covid virus, so where is the theory? They say it is fact. You have seen these colorful floating spikey computer models, right? Well, this is the only virus that exists in reality, just a computer model. When you say some people admire the Baileys, you are being disingenuous because they have millions of followers, not some! I suppose you are a fan of McCullagh. He stated that he has a photo of a virus but has not shown this Unicorn to anyone as yet, and the Baileys asked him about a virus, and this is what he said, I have a photo of one. He cannot have a photo of something that does not exist! I can draw you a picture of a Unicorn, but I cannot show you a photo of one. Perhaps a virus can be found on the tip of a Unicorns horn. Go back to your handlers and get better arguments please.

LIKE · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Gunther Heinz Gunther's Substack Apr 11

The hydrogen atom does not photograph too well either.

LIKE · REPLY · SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 15

The Baileys?? Are you literally referring to a character on a fictional TV show – Grey's Anatomy – to support your position? You are being satirical here, right? Good one!! 🤪🤪🤪🤪

LIKE · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Jack Williams Jack's Substack Apr 15

First, I will state that I do not watch TV because people like you watch TV, you know the ignorant people. If you do not know who the Baileys are then you need to get back to your cartoons. Who is Grays Anatomy? It cannot be a medical textbook because you probably have never seen one, right? Are you a blissful person? If ignorance is bliss, then the question is redundant. Sorry smiley face emoji guy, this just makes you look as ignorant as you actually are. Now get back to your TV dumb box and leave the truth to people smarter than you.

LIKE (1) · REPLY · SHARE

Baldmichael Baldmichael's Letter from Engla... Apr 12 · Liked by Jonathan Engler

Well said Jonathan. I see there are a number of trolls who are making fools of themselves by trying to deny the reality of what you have written. I daresay that they are well paid for their folly.

Unless they suffer from Long Stupid.

https://baldmichael.substack.com/p/long-stupid

LIKE (4) · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Removed Apr 12

Comment removed

LIKE (1) · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Baldmichael Baldmichael's Letter from Engla... Apr 17

Oh goody, the chief troll fell for the bait! You are a joker too I see, you think you are 'critical thinkers', whatever next. Critical stinkers would be better as we could smell the bullshit from you a mile off.

Anyway, I am sure you do a great job boosting comments on this site as people come to view you all on the rampage.

I would have loved to have tried to have a rational discussion with you old chap, but, if after 4 years of all this 'COVID 19 is a new deadly disease' nonsense you still haven't worked it out that it is a scam, then there is no hope for you.

Which is why given all your bullshit it is clear you are a troll and merely out to make a mess.

As 'I hinted Satan mess' is an anagram of 'Desantis is the man' looks like the root cause is clear.

I don't believe in luck but try refuting that 'Desantis is the man' anagrams to 'him dense Satanist'. This explains you to a 'T' does it not?

LIKE · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Removed Apr 17

Comment removed

LIKE · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Baldmichael Baldmichael's Letter from Engla... Apr 19

Glutton for punishment I see. You didn't deny anything of what I said, old fruitcake. I looked at your substack and asked myself 'Is there anything in it?'

Nope, nothing there, no posts, no notes. Still, I see you subscribe to 3 substacks, so well done.

And you like quite a few things too which is nice. Somebody has to like trolls I suppose, keep it in the family.

But after 4 years of COVID 19 nonsense and you haven't been able to write about it in your own words. Explains a lot.

Here's another irrefutable point for you. 'Anamnesis shitted' is an anagram of 'Desantis is the man'.

LIKE · REPLY · SHARE

Jeffrey Carter Apr 11

So let me get this straight. Every Obituary, hundred of thousands of them, were wrong, everybody on a ventilator who's lungs had become totally unfunctional ALL DURING THE SAME PERIOD IF TIME were fake, the pathology of the virus is an illusion and the Brookings Institute analysis of the effects of a 70 delay to mitigate is just . . . What? made up? —Got it.

LIKE (7) · REPLY (3) · SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 11 · *edited Apr 11* · Author

Are you just lazy, or do you offer up that strawman characterisation deliberately?

LIKE (3) · REPLY (2) · SHARE

Carol L. Clark From the Left Apr 11

As you offer not testing of the "facts" you allege, as you offer no method of collecting data, as you offer no backup "facts" whatsoever, it's kinda clownish to accuse Jeffery Carter of being lazy. You're a fraudster peddling just more hate, division. What degrees do you have and from what institutions that we can consult. You're a fraud Jonathan.

LIKE (1) · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Keith S Keith's Substack Apr 12

U R so fucking delusional it's frightening

LIKE · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Carol L. Clark From the Left Apr 12

Why thank you. Y'know, what's so funny - and sad at the same time - is that, so far, not one of your side has managed to mount an argument that isn't complete ad hominem. Salk and Sabin were far better at selling their pharma.

LIKE · REPLY · SHARE

Removed Apr 11

Comment removed

LIKE (8) · REPLY (4) · SHARE

Tam Hunt Common Sense Apr 11

IFR for Covid was 01% or lower, as is now widely accepted, that's probably significantly exaggerated still due to the massively over-inclusive Covid case definition and Covid death definition.

LIKE (2) · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Removed Apr 11

Comment removed

LIKE · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Tam Hunt Common Sense Apr 11

Your figures are way off, even accepting the vastly over-inclusive definition of a "Covid death" which is part of the IFR and CFR calculations. Here's a good 2023 study with Ioannidis as senior author finding vastly lower ICR in non-elderly populations https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9613797/.

As for elderly populations, keep in mind that age is, errr, correlated with death sans Covid, and it's clear at this point that a vast amount of alleged Covid deaths in the elderly were "borrowed" from pneumonia and other death categories. https://tamhunt.medium.com/is-cdc-borrowing-pneumonia-deaths-from-the-long-term-care-population-and-adding-them-to-the-17ace805747

LIKE (1) · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Removed Apr 11

Comment removed

LIKE · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Tam Hunt Common Sense Apr 11

What is the source of your figure of 0.4? I believe you're citing old work from 2022, which of course was superseded by later data showing that the IFR and CFR figures were far far lower. You're also ignoring my points about how the IFR and CFR definitions are fundamentally flawed and rather meaningless anyway.

LIKE · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Removed Apr 11

Comment removed

LIKE · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Tam Hunt Common Sense Apr 11

Exactly. To cite 2020 data for "the pandemic" IFR is obviously not accurate for the pandemic as a whole. And surely you've seen data, e.g, Grifoni et al 2020 ("we detected SARS-CoV-2-reactive CD4+ T cells in ~40%-60% of unexposed individuals, suggesting cross-reactive T cell recognition between circulating "common cold" coronaviruses and SARS-CoV-2"), that pre-pandemic blood banked blood tested 50% positive for Covid in serology tests, showing yet again that every aspect of these stats is fundamentally flawed. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32473127/

LIKE · REPLY · SHARE

Suavek Fraud Prevention Hotline Apr 11

The German, government-loyal Robert Koch Institute forgot to obscure an important scientific finding in its protocols. Now the Germans know the text. In it you can read:

"Covid-19 should not be compared to influenza, more people die in a normal wave of influenza."

Source : https://suavek1.substack.com/p/rki-protocols-part-2-flu-more-deadly

LIKE (1) · REPLY · SHARE

Jeffrey Carter Apr 11

Exactly. It's irresponsible, it's anti-science, it's so medieval it is suspiciously MAGA gaslighting ghost written by the kings of misinformation- The Heritage Foundation. Oof

LIKE (1) · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 11 · Author

"Anti science". Wasn't that a Fauci phrase ? 😂

LIKE (2) · REPLY · SHARE

Suavek Fraud Prevention Hotline Apr 11

You can also read the studies of the man who is considered the best medical statistician in the world. His name is Prof. John Ioannidis. The result of the death rate for the alleged "Covid" was comparable to a medium flu.

https://www.cicero.de/innenpolitik/ioannidis-corona-pandemie-drosten-lauterbach-wissenschaft

There are definitely other and better links for this. Please search yourself.

Abracadabra -- the top 6 illnesses that conveniently vanished during the "Covid-19" scamdemic

1. The Flu (seasonal influenza)

2. Strep throat (Streptococcus)

3. The common cold (over 200 rhinoviruses)

4. Bronchitis

5. MRSA - hospital superbugs resistant to antibiotics

6. Pneumonia

Source : https://t.me/QueueForBrain/14634

LIKE · REPLY · SHARE

New Health Paradigm New Health Paradigm Substack Apr 11 · *edited Apr 11*

Hospitals were literally paid to mark patients (and their deaths) as 'covid'. In the US I think hospitals were paid $1,300 per 'covid' patient, another $39,000 to put them on a ventilator, and additional $10,000 per 'covid' death. They also banned autopsies so families just had to accept it was 'covid'.

With so many surgeries and other treatments cancelled, and lots of people too scared to leave their homes, the hospitals were going bankrupt. Many were laying off staff during 2020 because they literally had no work for them. This meant there was even more of an incentive to take the money being offered for a 'covid' diagnosis.

The seasonal flu disappeared in 2020 and was replaced by 'covid' which had the exact same symptoms. In addition deaths from cancers, heart disease, old age. and even road traffic accidents were being marked as 'covid'. In 2020 social media was full of outraged families pointing out their loved one had died of cancer (or whatever) but had had their death marked as 'covid'. Sometimes the cause of death was changed after the fact. Many nurses, family members and funeral directors have blown the whistle on this. The protocols and payment scheme is a matter of public record.

The ventilators were one of the main causes of death, along with the drugs Remdesivir and Midazolam. While most hospitals sat empty (or half empty) in 2020, some were instructed to admit literally anybody. Elmhurst was one of those hospitals. According to whistleblowers people would come in with anxiety (from watching too much TV news) and they would be put on a vent within 15 minutes of arriving at the front desk. Nearly everyone put on a vent died within a month.

They had whole wards full of vented patients, with no respiratory therapists and nobody to feed or turn them. Nurses said it was chaos. They posted videos in their break rooms blowing the whistle on all this in real time. Because of the emergency declaration hospitals became liability free environments. This meant young doctors and nurses were essentially using patients to practice their

Expand full comment

LIKE (5) · REPLY · SHARE

Stephen King Apr 17

How about the decision to use remdesivir as protocol, knowing it killed thousands in use against ebola years before.

LIKE (2) · REPLY · SHARE

:jamie; :jamie;'s Substack Apr 9

The starting point is no virus. Which puts an end to the contagion myth and all harmful (all of them) vaccines.

Two new books break these points down in more simple, less scientific language.

The Final Pandemic (Drs Sam and Mark Bailey.

Can You Catch a Cold? Daniel Roytas.

For Vaccine myths:

Dissolving Illusions.

LIKE (7) · REPLY · SHARE

Douglas Lloyd Peck Stories for All Ages Apr 9 · *edited Apr 11*

Like Joseph Conrad said in Heart of Darkness, "We felt meditative, and fit for nothing but placid staring..." We stare in disbelief at unfolding world events and realize that none of our leaders are going to publicly confront the monster in the room, described so thoroughly by Sasha Latypova and Katherine Watt. A small group of faceless string pullers have once again put one over on humanity for their own political and financial purposes and the military industrial pharmaceutical complex has once again committed genocide, like the hundreds of thousands in the "War on Terror" since 9/11 and all the manufactured wars in history. https://liveyosemite.wordpress.com/2023/09/15/plandemic-update-sept-15-2023/

LIKED (7) · REPLY · SHARE

Dr. Paul Alexander Alexander MAGA COVID News; a PC... May 11 · Liked by Jonathan Engler

Hi Jonathan, I am taking the liberty of posting my stack here and I am placing this by PANDA in cue for it is striking deep into enemy territory.

https://palexander.substack.com/p/is-gain-of-function-gof-research

LIKE (2) · REPLY · SHARE

George Romey Apr 11

It was a scam from Day One and a few of us knew this from the get go.

LIKE (6) · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 15

I bet that collective few also believes in Santa Claus, the Easter bunny, and that the Earth is flat

LIKE · REPLY · SHARE

Maia Duerr The Practice of Life Apr 11

This post is bs. Signed, someone who lost their otherwise mostly healthy mom and dad to this virus and witnessed firsthand the devastating effects of it.

LIKE (6) · REPLY · SHARE

Marc Debolt The Peasant Times Jul 6 · Liked by Jonathan Engler

Every single aspect of most narratives of officialdom are BS. Skepticism by default is the only sane position but people have been conditioned to do just the opposite. They acquiesce to and believe their abusers, proven liars, no matter how bad it gets.

LIKE (1) · REPLY · SHARE

Dr. Paul Alexander Alexander MAGA COVID News; a PC... May 11 · Liked by Jonathan Engler

hi Jonathan and Nick, see my stack here that I just posted up to showcase this good scholarship by Engler...it is well done:

https://palexander.substack.com/p/jonathan-englers-excellent-stack

LIKE (1) · REPLY · SHARE

Ellen Corley EllenCorleyResearchWorks Newsle... Apr 16

What are courageous doctors revealing about vaccines?

See page 251 from @JamesPerloff's completely censored by America for this censored knowledge:

Guy Langton, MD, aptly compares this vaccine methodology to rape:

Number one, vaccines make people sick. They don't work. They don't protect. The use of vaccines is totally wrong! It's perfect nonsense based on fear. It's fear of the disease. I, as your doctor, am going to give the disease to you right away, but not as strong. This way your body will know about the disease and, if you ever get it in the future, you won't be as sick the second time ... What they say is total nonsense. If I came to you and said, "I'm going to perform a little sexual assault on you - a small rape - because, one day you could meet a rapist and you could be raped. But, it won't be as bad the second time as the first time! This is exactly the same thing as giving someone a vaccine, or a little bit if the disease. It's nonsense! Immunization is total nonsense! More than that is what's hidden from people about vaccines. They are dangerous. One child out of five has overwhelming disabilities from vaccines - neurological problems, seizures. I've got a whole list. There are plenty of books on this subject. Doctors don't even read about this. (Interview with Guylaine Lanctot by Kenneth & Dee Burke http://www.whale.to/a/lanctot.html

LIKE (5) · REPLY (1) · SHARE

Baldmichael Baldmichael's Letter from Engla... Apr 17

Well put. Vaccines create a repsonse from the body. But it is claimed that this trains the immune system which is complete rubbish. as all vaccines do is posion people. Unless they contain just saline as nobody actually ever checks each vial.

https://baldmichael.substack.com/p/why-vaccines-do-not-work-in-a-nutshell

LIKE (4) · REPLY · SHARE

Joe Kosugi Apr 13

Yes; much of the USA population is now simple minded and sheepish enough to believe whatever idiocy they are told. So, I had multiple acquaintances tell me, " its a real sickness; my relative had it and had a bad sore throat, and lost her sense of taste." But that happened to me and millions of others decades ago with the common cold, flu, or strep. Nothing new, just an intentional misdiagnosis through the PCR test.

LIKE (5) · REPLY · SHARE

Evan Marc Katz Lovesplaining Apr 10

Tell me you're crazy without telling me you're crazy.

LIKE (5) · REPLY · SHARE

Don Apr 10

This shit belongs on Alex Jones's site, not Substack, you fucking plague rat filth.

Allen Apr 10
Is Substack corporate news?

What does Big Brother say?

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Carol L. Clark From the Left Apr 10
Ask the Kochs yourself.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 10
This is the most ridiculous garbage I have read about Covid. It doesn't explain the millions of deaths around the world. It doesn't explain why it took so long to come up with a methodology of testing for it. It doesn't explain the length of time it took to come up with a vaccine that clearly saved lives. Yes, some people had adverse effects to it, but that was .01% so it's negligible. stop spreading this trash people who can't think critically will fall for it.

https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/why-scientists-tweak-lab-viruses-to-make-them-more-contagious1/

LIKE (5) REPLY (4) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 10 Author
"It doesn't explain why it took so long to come up with a methodology of testing for it. "

What on earth are you talking about?

This is what official sources claim happened:

Late Dec 2019 - new cases identified in Wuhan

7 Jan 2020 - "new virus" isolated

12 Jan 2020 - sequence uploaded

13 Jan 2020 - WHO publishes early draft of the Drosten test

See: https://t.co/F8KmXgHhOL

Wasn't a day fast enough for you? Or perhaps it was a bit TOO fast....?

LIKED (7) REPLY (1) SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 14
That was not approved or used. That test did not ever get widely used. And ultimately proved unreliable and the cdc told the country to stop testing with it by early February. So, yes, 1 day is fast enough. But I'll take 2 days for something that works.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Fager 132 Apr 10
Scientific American thinks Bill Gates' sky umbrellas are a good idea. It's not a legitimate source. However, if you ask the CDC, there were about 500,000 fewer deaths in the US by November, 2020 (2.5 million) than there were in 2019 (2.9 million) and in 2018 (2.8 million). The year-over-year percentage increase in total deaths was higher from 2018-2019 than from 2019-2020. 166,000-odd die daily. The "vaccine" took lives but saved none. Even by June of 2021, The Lancet had reported an ARR of .84% for the BioNtech injection. Millions of people around the world die every year from something. Except "covid." No one dies from that.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Reid G Sheftall, M.D. First Principles with Dr. Sheft... Apr 10
Fager, my explanation of the ARR, RRR, etc. was way before the lancet came out with a paper from the Oxford guys confirming what I had done months before. I did it on the night the data became available. Here it is: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RG7n1ZbDTww&t=1038s

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Fager 132 Apr 11
I wish I'd found your stuff earlier. I was always on the lookout for intellectual ammunition but didn't know anything about Substack until 2023. The Lancet summary of ARR vs. RRR showed up in an Instagram meme in October of 2021, which is how I found out about it. YouTube is always dead last on my source list but I will watch that video. Thank you.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Reid G Sheftall, M.D. First Principles with Dr. Sheft... Apr 11
It's a good one to watch. You'll understand it completely afterwards. It was one of the most egregious lies told during Covid in a sea of them.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 21 Author
My pinned tweet thread is on that topic as well. 11 Jan 2021

https://x.com/jengleruk/status/1348610177805799425

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Stephen King Apr 17
Safe and effective. People got "covid" after the jabs. People developed myocarditis after the jabs. Women lost babies and became sterile. Remdesivir was used to treat covid and killed people just as it did to those Fauci gave it to in Africa to treat ebola.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 21
Millions more were saved. Stop exploiting the .02 % that were adversely affected by the vaccine and let's talk about the 98% that were helped and saved by it.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Stephen King Apr 21
Helped and saved? Many of them have less immunity to anything else that comes along. They are not finished being affected by them.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Removed Apr 10 · edited Apr 10
Comment removed

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 10
If the grossly disproportionate and **anti-scientific** "response" doesn't lead self-proclaimed "critical thinkers" to ask questions, there's something seriously wrong with them!

PS. The *facts* on the efficacy of lockdowns as a "non pharmaceutical intervention" are pretty damning for those still supporting them.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 10
Comment removed

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 10
Or... a true critical and independent thinker would see the bigger picture - the anti-science, propaganda, censorship, fraud etc that underpinned a draconian "health response", and the harms it caused - and ask more questions about the novel clinical syndrome (with a mean age of death in the 80s).

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 10
Comment removed

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 10 · edited Apr 10
Just to take one of your points:

"...the vaccine was actually LOWERING excess mortality".

But the experts even admitted - when the vaccine failed - that the lowering of excess mortality was due less less-lethal variants.

Assuming the best case scenario for efficacy of the 'vaccine': the same people pushing it to "end the pandemic" admitted any effect wore off after 10-12 weeks, hence the need for boosters at 3-6 months. They also said the vaccine would prevent infection and transmission, such that "breakthrough" infections would be extremely rare. Then they said breakthrough infections were the norm and a good thing -- for "hybrid immunity".

This "science" and the people pushing it can't be taken seriously.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 10
Comment removed

LIKE (1) REPLY (2) SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 10 · edited Apr 10
For the record, JH's interrogation of Kory - re "a simulation" etc - is as absurd as her assertion that ICU doctors in NYC and Lombardy were reading from a script in Spring 2020.

And I've already wished her luck with a new theory she and others are pushing: unrecognized oxygen toxicity. She's all over the place and wants it both ways on Spring 2020 NYC:

1. "It was all data fraud and iatrogenesis", or...

2. "Looks like something really did cause people to get sick and die even before reaching hospital".

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 10
Comment removed

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 10 · edited Apr 10
I actually think a proper analysis of data for Spring 2020 is crucial.

The problem with JH is the baseless and often contradictory hard conclusions she draws.

Eg. She asserts ICU doctors in NYC made stuff up and/or participated in a "simulation" (on the one hand) but also claims there was likely "a strategic drug poisoning event [that] triggered cardiac arrest calls and deaths — and helped stage a spreading deadly pathogen" in NYC during the same period.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 10 · edited Apr 10
"Strawman arguments". Nope.

Defending the response as "poor messaging" shows a lack of intellectual rigour.

To repeat: the people pushing it said it wore off after 10 weeks!

PS. Here's an example of an "expert" and "critical thinker" that made national news in mid-2022...

Immunologist gets severe myocarditis after 4 mRNA shots; blames it on Omicron; says he should've worn an N95 mask continuously in addition to ongoing boosters.

You can't make this stuff up.

https://www.abc.net.au/news/health/2022-07-29/covid-19-three-myths-challenge-lies-ahead/101274980

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 10
Comment removed

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 10
"So many mistakes were made."

Nope. They most definitely were NOT mistakes.

Unless you think "... only experts could be this stupid".

Oddly enough, all the "mistakes" had the effect of benefiting the "stakeholders".

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Continue Thread →

J K Apr 10
Mike Yeadon refuted your facts. Go take another booster because another pandemic is coming:)

LIKED (6) REPLY SHARE

Jerry Kelly Apr 9
All aspects of all pandemics are fake. Especially the ones having to do with these things called "viruses".

LIKE (5) REPLY (1) SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 15
The only thing fake is your fake intellectual capacity

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jerry Kelly Apr 15
Capacity is fake.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 15
Thank you for agreeing that your intellectual capacity is Fake. Now we're making progress.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Green Fields Apr 9
100% spot on Jonathan.

LIKE (5) REPLY SHARE

Elizabeth Griswold Apr 17
Pretty sure the thousands that died and their loved ones (in which I personally know & saw too) would disagree... but nice try at rewriting what we all saw before our eyes. It was global so quickly & so easily spread the easiest definition of a pandemic so that completely discredits your "position" from the start. Calling it a "narrative" doesn't change your attempt at creating a narrative.

LIKE (4) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 17 Author
Nice try at engaging with the points made.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Mary Apr 16
Oh my. What reality are you living in. Certainly not the reality of having full ICUs, having healthcare colleagues die from the same disease they were treating???? ETC ETC ETC

LIKE (4) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 16 Author
Where do we say that people didn't die in ICUs? What we are revealing are weaknesses in the novel pathogen story. Do lots of people dying in an ICU always mean that a virus escape from somewhere and spread round the world?

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Stephen McMurray Spotlight on the New World Order Apr 16
The alleged virus was never isolated as numeous freedom of information requests in various countries has proved. In fact the virus only existed as a computer generated virus after bits of lung tissue were taken from a few patients in Wuhan and, because they had already pre-determined it must be a corona like various, instructed the computer to generate what they belived a corona virus DNA sequence should look like from the random bits of DNA found in the samples. As the 'virus' was only virtual there were never any real covid virus for the PCR tests to test against. If you look at the original PCR tests in relation to Coivd, the creators of it openly state they have no samples of the virus available

(see below) so created synthetic DNA that resembled the original SARS virus form 2003

https://www.eurosurveillance.org/content/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045?crawler=true

"the present case of 2019-nCoV, virus isolates or samples from infected patients have so far not become available to the international public health community. We report here on the establishment and validation of a diagnostic workflow for 2019-nCoV screening and specific confirmation, designed in absence of available virus isolates or original patient specimens. Design and validation were enabled by the close genetic relatedness to the 2003 SARS-CoV, and aided by the use of synthetic nucleic acid technology."

As the PCR tests never actually tested for Sars Cov2, there can never have been any offcially conformed cases.

LIKE (4) REPLY SHARE

Alex The Drugs Don't Work Anymore Apr 12
kind of jealous that you all get to live in this alternate reality where everything is smokescreens and puppet masters. like, the real world is significantly less interesting.

LIKE (4) REPLY SHARE

Nona Apr 11
You are an idiot.

LIKED (5) REPLY SHARE

Improv Unfocused Apr 11
We had a pandemic. Some nutters are prone to conspiracy theories and don't believe in the moon landing.

LIKE (4) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 11 Author
The question which the article implicitly addresses - which appears to have escaped you - is what did we have a pandemic of?

LIKE (4) REPLY (2) SHARE

Improv Unfocused Apr 11
A particular set of strains of coronavirus. The mainstream narrative is correct, as it usually is. We landed on the moon. The world is round. Vaccines have made the world a better place. Communicable diseases explain a lot of illness (some new-agers think it's all about diet). And so on.

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Carol L. Clark From the Left Apr 11
Why, silly putty, of course.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 9
--"Lab leak" and "zoonotic spillover" theories are the two constituent parts of a deliberately engineered false dichotomy. [...] The oft-repeated references to "the next pandemic"— even by some apparent "Covid dissidents"— is a foreshadowing of their intentions, because, remember, as they say: "Any rogue lab can engineer these viruses now."--

Exactly right. The "insider threat" is even part of 'bioterrorism' studies.

Also, the constructed false binary model underpins other aspects of the 'pandemic' narrative. There were official narratives and approved/ pseudo-suppressed counter-narratives at every turn.

- lab accident vs zoonosis (or the unmentionable: deliberate!)

- suppression vs elimination

- cloth mask or N95

- PCR or RAT diagnostics

- mRNA vs Novavax

And the "science changed" to catch up with known science (on IFR, natural immunity, PCR CT, vaccine efficacy etc) *after* the interventions were imposed.

LIKE (4) REPLY SHARE

Casey Sharpe Apr 16
Wish you could've explained all this to the POTUS, Donald Trump, who had every reason to deny the truth of covid, as he gasped for air on the White House lawn.

And also to every one of the hundreds of patients I watched dying of respiratory failure in our ICUs. I wish you'd explain this to the millions of family members and friends of those who died of the most well-documented, most extensively studied virus in Earth's history.

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 16 Author
So I take it you believe this timeline is completely "organic"?

https://sanityunleashed.substack.com/p/its-all-in-the-timeline?r=lcwte

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 16
Comment removed

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Rob Kay Scottish reflections Apr 17
It was just another variant of SARS1 - or the common cold. Most people had pre-existing natural immunity, and that is why it was so weak. Most of the excess mortality was caused by blind panic and inappropriate 'treatments' such as invasive ventilation and the wholly unjustified use of strong, end of life, sedatives and happened after lockdowns of the elderly.

Bent Joseph Joseph's Substack · Apr 16

Just saying

No endorsement.

Glad you avoided the cabal trials.

Seems that they are leading to World against hate speech.

As in poor Hitlers time.

Bankers are still in charge.

There you will find sociopaths.

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Rob Kay Scottish reflections · Apr 15

I would love to think that "someone" will be held accountable for this disaster , but nobody was held accountable for the Iraq and Afghanistan Wars either. I expect they will keep denying it for decades.

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Gibson Gentry Gibson's Substack · Apr 15

The Canadian National Citizens Inquiry has completed their final report with a confirmed 57,000 Canadians killed by an experimental Covid injections. 63 subpoena's 'x's were sent out to politicians and none showed.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nOWrouaYb0U

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Gregor McIntosh · May 21

🐱

LIKE REPLY SHARE

JD · Apr 13

Where have all these shills and trolls come from? lol.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Suaneh Fraud Prevention Hotline · Apr 14

Here is a detailed answer :

https://t.me/QuasarFinance/34655

Kind regards !

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Gregor McIntosh · Apr 13

To deny the pandemic even happened is insanity. Have you been awake at all since 2020? You are actually insane.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler · Apr 13 Author

To deny the pandemic happened as described by those who profited from it is insanity. Have you been awake at all since 2020? You are actually insane.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Gregor McIntosh · Apr 13

Millions of people died from it and here, if not hundreds of millions got it, many more than one. I got it twice, in June 2021, and March 2023. How fucking dare you deny the pandemic and the impact it had on the world. Shame on you.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler · Apr 13 · edited Apr 13 Author

Wow, what is the secret as to how you develop such an enquiring mind?

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Removed · Apr 13

Comment removed

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler · Apr 13 Author

Moronic unsubstantiated accusations don't require an answer, but no.

But why don't you check out The Wellness Company, FLCC or the celebrity doctors pushing preparation kits for "the next pandemic"?

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Rob Kay Scottish reflections · Apr 15

There was no pandemic. I do not know a single person who was hospitalised or died of it.

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Little Beads of Mercury · Apr 13

2019 ultra fit, young adult son stricken with a violent flu-like condition that takes weeks to recover from.

2019: 7 yo niece contracts some kind of 'flu' that doctors can remedy and was almost fatal.

Feb 2020: warnings to Americans to disinfect and bleach every surface they come in contact with, family members begin to panic.

Mar 2020: as an asthmatic family and US government urge us to isolate and lockdown.

May 2020: depression starts to creep in, start riding a Peloton daily and rely on Zoom and FaceTime for human connection.

Oct 2021: vaxxed and boosted under the fear and ache to return to family and society.

Dec 2022: persistence of 'normal' life, cold spikes of asthma symptoms and recurring respiratory infections.

May 2023: asthmatic on fire and immune system destroyed from multiple chest and sinus 'colds.'

April 2024: accumulation of lies, truths unearthed and the utter reversal of 'pandemic' realities convinces this once-woke citizen that nothing is as it seems and no one really, in positions of wealth and power ever tells the truth.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Reed G Sheffall, M.D. First Principles with Dr. Sheffall · Apr 16

All of this was in my first book written 3 years ago or one of my many posts on these very subjects. It doesn't mean I was copied but it's fairly old news, isn't it? I never got 253 likes on any of my posts. Are people really seeing this for the first time?

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Reed G Sheffall, M.D. First Principles with Dr. Sheffall... · Apr 16

Substack? Had you seen any of my posts on this or read my first book?

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Carol L Clark From the Left · Apr 10

"Only about 0.1% died" and that isn't a pandemic? There are over billion people on the planet now.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed · Apr 10

Comment removed

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Kirsten Dunlord Soulbed3 Substack · Apr 11 · edited Apr 11

Yes, that's him. He streams on twitch most every day.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Courageous Lion Hope Me Rise - Joe Mavin Twitter · Apr 10

I'd have to say that there have never been a virus proven to exist either. So if you're going to have a pandemic you need to have something to exist in the first place. A bacterial one. Or a parasite one. Or a fungus one. But a VIRUS? Nooooooo..... https://www.courageous-lion.co/p/bookings-on-vimeo/

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Kirsten Dunlord Soulbed3 Substack · Apr 10 · Liked by Jonathan Engler

No, this isn't new to me. JJ Couey has been on top of this.

And since only about 0.1% of the world's population supposedly died from covid, that does not a pandemic make. I don't think mass deaths from pathogens can occur in healthy populations.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Reed G Sheffall, M.D. First Principles with Dr. Sheffall... · Apr 11

Soulbed3. Had you seen any of my posts on this or read my first book?

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Carol L Clark From the Left · Apr 10

"Only about 0.1% died" and that isn't a pandemic? There are over billion people on the planet now.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed · Apr 10

Comment removed

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Kirsten Dunlord Soulbed3 Substack · Apr 11 · edited Apr 11

Yes, that's him. He streams on twitch most every day.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Courageous Lion Hope Me Rise - Joe Mavin Twitter · Apr 10

I'd have to say that there have never been a virus proven to exist either. So if you're going to have a pandemic you need to have something to exist in the first place. A bacterial one. Or a parasite one. Or a fungus one. But a VIRUS? Nooooooo..... https://www.courageous-lion.co/p/bookings-on-vimeo/

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

DemocracyMainNet DemocracyMainNet Substack · Apr 9

"Every single aspect of the Covid" narrative is false."

Dissenting view re "EVERY": There WAS something unusual - with a "unique clinical syndrome" - that led people to seek acute medical care and/or die (often before contact with medical services) in high numbers in certain geographical locations in early 2020.

(Or were the Emergency and ICU doctors on Zoom in Lombardy and NYC reading from a script?)

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jessica Hockett Wood House 76 · Apr 9

"Were the Emergency and ICU doctors on Zoom in Lombardy and NYC reading from a script?"

Yes, they were.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

DemocracyMainNet DemocracyMainNet Substack · Apr 9

Hmm... what happened to your "strategic mass poisoning event" hypothesis to account for excess the death spikes (out-of-hospital/care homes) that you asserted only a few weeks ago?

Jonathan Engler · Apr 9 Author

You're mixing up the idea of something released in certain strategic locations (which I'm open to but not 100% whether it's necessary to explain things) with the notion that there was a pandemic caused by a globally spreading novel pathogen causing a deadly novel disease.

The former is a totally different concept to the latter.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

DemocracyMainNet DemocracyMainNet Substack · Apr 9 · edited Apr 9

But the Spring of 2020 is a key part of the 'pandemic' narrative.

Seeking to clarify:

1. The (reported) "all cause" excess mortality (and morbidity) in Spring 2020 - as the starting point. Were these illnesses/ deaths real "regardless of cause"?

2. Then: assuming data real, what was the cause?

Btw, re "...not 100% whether it's necessary to explain things": if deaths at home in specific locations real, they're not accounted for by items due to (from the article):

a) Massive disruptions in health and social care: malfeasment, non-treatment or inappropriate treatment, especially of the elderly

b) Misattribution of deaths to "Covid"

c) Other harms consequent to the response to the false perception that a novel deadly virus was circulating.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler · Apr 9 Author

As I commented under your comment under my piece about Australia:

https://parkyulinsel.substack.com/p/australian-officials-must-have-known/comment/53268204

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

DemocracyMainNet DemocracyMainNet Substack · Apr 9

The hypothesis that EH alludes to re prior "reasonal flu vaccine" is interesting given how much the experts warned about the possibility of ADE in early 2020 (Fauci - then Holes, CRS etc).

Who knows what experimentation took place in addition to the main vaccine experiment? Perhaps there was a "combination" given in the months prior.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Jessica Hockett Wood House 76 · Apr 10

You're confused

That hypothesis relates ONLY to what could have triggered the sudden and massive cardiac arrest event at home/other points of pick up that is reported by NYC the ambulance dispatch data, assuming the data reflect a real time event. It is NOT a hypothesis that relates to all deaths or all places of death during those weeks. (We show data for the different places here: https://t.me/c/1284855313)

The orders given to EMS are also not insignificant.

"COVID" and fear are not sufficient explanations for the data

https://t.me/quasar_finance/35/comment/1739416/1739419?n=46

That the US saw a jump in drug overdose cardiac arrests in April 2020 is not in dispute https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7777268/#:~:text=%2C%2C%2C

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 9

No worries- you're basically caught in the crossfire of an accusation of "Latypovan style" plagiarism followed by what appears to be a repudiation of the stolen hypothesis.

Which is every bit as crazy as it sounds.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Capt. Roy Harkness Capt. Roy Harkness' Substack Apr 10 · *edited Apr 10*

I think the one thing we can agree on, the wanton criminality and fraud of this debacle was as obvious as the nose on your face.. and 99% of humanity swallowed this monumental cow flop whole – and continue to chew on it, viz: A news flash yesterday from the Province of British Columbia, where I have the misfortune to live: https://bc.ctvnews.ca/b-c-launches-spring-covid-19-booster-program-targeting-vulnerable-and-unvaccinated-1.6838801

LIKE (1) REPLY (2) SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 10

Yes, "wanton criminality and fraud" - that's it in a nutshell.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Richard Rosenthal Critical Dissidence Apr 10

And Philip Zelikow, the same lying scumbag traitor who headed up 9/11 coverup investigation is named to head up Covid coverup investigation. The Monster lives. https://substack.com/app?utm_source=email

LIKE (1) REPLY (2) SHARE

Capt. Roy Harkness Capt. Roy Harkness' Substack Apr 10

Um.. you're going to have to correct your link...🤔

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Richard Rosenthal Critical Dissidence Apr 10

Thanks. Corrected Link: https://sagehana.substack.com/p/covid-commission-starring-the-911?utm_source=substack&utm_medium=email

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Capt. Roy Harkness Capt. Roy Harkness' Substack Apr 10

👍

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Capt. Roy Harkness Capt. Roy Harkness' Substack Apr 10

😱💩

"And nobody seems to notice. Nobody seems to care."

– George Carlin

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Christine Massey FOIs Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Apr 10

Can you or those doctors back up your claim of a "covid something" and/or a "unique clinical syndrome" that required zero symptoms, with valid scientific evidence?

"covid" was diagnosed with fraudulent useless lab tests.

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Elizabeth Hart Vaccination is political Apr 9

Re Lombardy...was the situation any more serious than in 2017/2018?

Consider:

A report in the Italian newspaper Corriere della Sera points out that Italian intensive care units already collapsed under the marked flu wave in 2017/2018. They had to postpone operations, call nurses back from holiday and ran out of blood donations.

Here's an internet translation of the Italian news paper report referred to:

Milan, intensive care to collapse for the flu: 48 seriously ill patients already postponed operations

Difficulty in welcoming new patients, reservations suspended for bed-places for resuscitations destined to welcome patients after operations, extraordinary shifts (free) for doctors and nurses called back from holidays. Appeal of doctors to the Region

By Simona Ravizza

Record numbers. The complications of the flu, especially pneumonia, put the reanimations in crisis: 48 cases of seriously ill patients hospitalized from Christmas to today in the intensive care of Policlinico, San Raffaele, San Gerardo of Monza and San Matteo of Pavia, the reference hospitals in Lombardy for the use of the Ecmo, the machine that replaces the lungs. The problems overlap: difficulties in welcoming new patients, postponement of scheduled surgical interventions and suspended reservations for the bedside of the resuscitations destined to welcome the sick after the operations, extraordinary shifts (free) for doctors and nurses recalled from the holidays.

Giuseppe Foti, at the helm of the San Gerardo emergency in Monza, does the math: «Three patients hospitalized until December 22nd, 6 from December 22nd to 31st, 8 from January 1st to today. The problem is serious. From this week we have been forced to suspend bookings of ICU beds for surgical patients with scheduled interventions ». Federico Pappalardo, head of intensive care at San Raffaele, admits: "Today other non-urgent operations will be skipped". Giacomo Grasselli, medical manager of the resuscitation of the Policlinico, is in the same situation: "The risk of postponing elective surgery for patients who need postoperative care in intensive care is a problem on the agenda". Giorgio Antonio Iotti, head of intensive care at San Matteo di Pavia, spreads his arms:

According to the doctors, the intervention of the Lombardy Region is urgent to overcome the problem: the Pirellone is called into question because today there is a lack of recognized coordination, also economically, of the work of the four hospitals. Alberto Zangrillo, director of the San Raffaele Urgency Emergency Department, attacks: "The truth is that Minister Lorenzin did not worry nationally about refinancing the project, especially affecting Lombardy, a region on which for its high level the centers also unload the work of the other regions ». Grasselli insists: "The centralization of the patients who need the Ecmo literally saves the lives of patients who would otherwise die". And the thoughts of the doctors, gathered on Monday at the Polyclinic to examine what to do, goes to the new mother who risked her life two days after giving birth, in early January, for a very serious respiratory failure following the flu and now in good condition thanks to the Ecmo. The same happened to a little girl, who got seriously worse following a fever and cough. The worst was feared for her too: "But our intervention with the machine that replaces the lungs saved her." A great commitment, an enormous effort, which now make the institutions ask for recognition. To save other lives.

Meanwhile, the blood emergency widens , due to the flu virus that prevents many donors from going to the collection centers. After the appeal of the Polyclinic, the virologist Roberto Burioni also reports difficulties at San Raffaele, with surgical operations suspended due to a lack of blood. And invites citizens to make their contribution.

January 10, 2018 | 07:56

https://milano.corriere.it/notizie/cronaca/18_gennaio_10/milano-terapie-intensive-collasso-l-influenza-gia-48-malati-gravi-molte-operazioni-rinviate-c9dc43a6-f5d1-11e7-9b06-fe054c3be5b2.shtml?refresh_ce-cp

LIKE REPLY (5) SHARE

Cathleen Apr 9

I live in northern Italy and what happened in Italy in early 2020, is that not all of Italy was hit by the "virus", and not even the whole of northern Italy, where 3/4 of all deaths occurred. The epicentre of the virus was in Lombardy which accounts for 2/3 of all the victims.

Why there? In January, 2020, Lombardy implemented a major vaccination campaign against meningococcus, following a mini epidemic of bacterial meningitis that affected just 6 people (with 2 deaths). The regional authorities decided on a massive and free-of-charge vaccination of the whole population. Within a few weeks, more than 33,000 people were vaccinated in Lombardy.

Within two months, Lombardy was experiencing the majority of "covid" deaths and was the first area of a Western country that was locked-down. (I can back this up with 2 articles, one in English and one in Italian.) Could it be that the meningitis vaccine in Lombardy (and thirdly - even the 2019 flu vaccine given in Western countries) caused these so-called "covid" deaths? Or made those injected more vulnerable to becoming ill?

The Lombardy epicentre of "covid" deaths and the timing of the meningitis vaccine seems to show correlation.

The 2019 flu vaccine, which the majority of people over 65 are pressured to take from their doctors, and which was the largest group dying from "covid" in 2020 also concerns me. My Italian friend's parents both took the flu vaccine in early 2020. Her father died within a week from "covid". Mother passed away a few months later from "heart failure". They were both healthy and fit and in their mid 70's.

LIKE (5) REPLY (4) SHARE

Allen Apr 10

"Between December 2019 and January 2020,1.2 million influenza vaccines of a new type were administered in the Lombardy region Northern Italy. The widespread distribution of vaccines through pharmacies and the good adherence of general practitioners are reaping good fruits.

The influenza [vaccine] campaign launched by the ATS is in fact already recording record numbers,and is not yet completed. With this new model we have dispensed 1,183,660 vaccines in the entire Lombardy Region."This experimental vaccine was presented by the ISS as a "brand new quadrivalent vaccine" and was injected into the bodies of 1.2 million Lombards (most of them elderly). This was an unprecedented amount of vaccines in all of the area's history. Additionally, for the first time in Italy's history, these vaccines were distributed to not only hospitals but also to general practitioners and pharmacies.

Within a few short months, elderly people suddenly began to get sick and die in Lombardy and adjacent regions where all of the initial so-called COVID deaths were reported.

On top of all of this, there were NO AUTOPSIES ALLOWED BY THE ISS in the early stages of the pandemic. When a few brave Italian doctors began to perform some autopsies they discovered thrombosis/blood clots in the patients, most likely caused by the vaccines. Ventilators also likely accelerated their demise. Americans will remember a similar story that took place in New York."

Source:

https://mega.nz/file/hN52QJKT#LEu_m113PB54fFSn38YNlwKgxLIZhF4etvSrK0wJ3sU

One article about this here also,

https://northerntracey213875959.wordpress.com/2021/01/12/something-you-should-know/

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Elizabeth Hart Vaccination is political Apr 10

Thanks Cathleen, interesting info.

New meningococcal vaccine products have come on the market in recent years - ACWY and B...so of course this means meningococcal has to be beaten up to push the products.

I also think a new quadrivalent flu vaccine was being pushed for the elderly in 2019, something to look back on.

What is for sure is that vested interests are always pushing disease and vaccine products.

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Allen Apr 10

Since the 2019-2020 flu season, all four flu CVVs used in the Flucelvax Quadrivalent are cell-based, making the vaccine egg-free.

How is the cell-based vaccine manufacturing process different than the traditional egg-based manufacturing process?

The cell-based vaccine manufacturing process uses mammalian cells (Madin-Darby Canine Kidney, or MDCK cells) to grow flu viruses instead of fertilized hen's eggs. Since the 2019-2020 flu season, all four of the CVVs provided to the cell-based vaccine manufacturer are cell-derived rather than egg-derived.

https://www.cdc.gov/flu/prevent/cell-based.htm

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

John H. Apr 10

Do you have an opinion about the possible role of 5G being brought on-line in Lombardy at roughly the time people were allegedly becoming severely ill in 2020?

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Cathleen Apr 10 · *edited Apr 10*

It's highly probable that 5G played a role. I've read comments that 5G towers were installed in Lombardia area early 2020, but haven't found concrete proof of it.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 9

Thank you for this. Very interesting.

"Could it be that the meningitis vaccine in Lombardy (and thirdly - even the 2019 flu vaccine given in Western countries) caused these so-called "covid" deaths? Or made those injected more vulnerable to becoming ill?"

See reply to EH below: "Needless to say this would amount to a delayed effect of being poisoned if true."

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Allen Apr 10

Italy 2020: Inside Covid's 'Ground Zero' in Europe

https://21stcenturywire.com/2023/03/07/italy-2020-inside-covids-ground-zero-in-europe/

Italy 2020 – The Preposterous Pandemic

https://healthfreedomdefense.org/italy-2020-the-preposterous-pandemic/

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 9 · *edited Apr 9*

This point is not about the 'pandemic' being real-or-not btw.

What if "pandemic yes-or-no" based on overall numbers is itself a false dichotomy?

There's obviously a huge difference between a spike of thousands of deaths due to deliberate poisoning versus thousands of deaths being "made up".

LIKE (2) REPLY (3) SHARE

Elizabeth Hart Vaccination is political Apr 9

Has there ever been a 'real pandemic'?

What is a 'real pandemic'?

LIKE (7) REPLY (1) SHARE

Allen Apr 10

No. "Pandemic" is a story. Start with The Spanish Flu- the official story is unadulterated bullshit.

Pandemics do not exist. They are cover stories that conceal the true cause of disease. Like all other facets of "official history" be it medical or political these stories keep people trapped into believing in narratives that allow those inventing the lies (the ruling class) to make money coming and going off the story and establish control.

Manufactured pandemics are now mammoth investment opportunities that increase the wealth of billionaires and further consolidate their power.

LIKE (4) REPLY SHARE

Elizabeth Hart Vaccination is political Apr 9

Also, consider this article, particularly in regard to older people's immune systems... There are new flu vaccines on offer for 2024. Should I get one? What do I need to know?

https://www.doherty.edu.au/news-events/news/new-flu-vaccines-on-offer-2024-should-i-get-one-what-do-i-need-to-know

What's going on with all these jabs being given year after year...

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Elizabeth Hart Vaccination is political Apr 9

Also consider retired paediatrician Allan Cunningham's BMJ rapid response from March 2020: Flu shots and the risk of coronavirus infections:

https://www.bmj.com/content/368/bmj.m810/rr-0

LIKE (2) REPLY (2) SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 9 · *edited Apr 9*

"A randomized placebo-controlled trial in children showed that flu shots increased fivefold the risk of acute respiratory infections caused by a group of noninfluenza viruses, including coronaviruses."

Extraordinary! Had heard this issue raised a few times re Spring 2020, but hadn't seen the numbers.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 9

Needless to say this would amount to a delayed effect of being poisoned if true.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Reid G Sheftall, M.D. First Principles with Dr. Sheft... Apr 10

Elizabeth. I can and have proven the Lombardy Italy thing was not due to Covid overwhelming the area. Turns out it had been there from months before. It was so mild, no one noticed it. Read my "SANCTIONED: The COVID Murders", coming out within a month.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 9

Not sure about Lombardy and deaths at home - but JH insisted that huge death spike in NYC in private residences in Spring 2020 likely due to "strategic mass poisoning event" (and that her hypothesis on this was being plagiarized).

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 9

In a similar vein - what if Pierre Kory (NYC), Steve James (London), Joseph Fraiman (New Orleans) etc weren't all reading from a script. They seemed pretty sure it wasn't just a "wave" of garden variety severe pneumonia they were dealing with.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 10 Author

I suspect the relationship between propaganda and physical manifestation of disease has been massively understudied and is, as a result, underestimated.

Two brief examples of nocebo effect here - and cast your mind back to the intensity, sophistication, ubiquity and relentlessness of the propaganda purveyed by ALL mass media at the behest of governments over the past few years, especially 2020/1.

Given this, it would be more surprising if people DIDN'T display manifestations of real disease whenever they thought they "had covid", had a positive test, were in contact with a possible "spreader" and so on.

https://sanityunleashed.substack.com/p/did-the-nocebo-effect-play-a-huge

LIKE (4) REPLY (2) SHARE

JG Apr 10

I personally think there's something to that idea. Can't underestimate the power of the mind.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 10 · *edited Apr 10*

But we're talking about experienced clinicians here, and a pattern that was seen only in certain localities in Spring 2020 after the lockdowns (and not others). At the time, it was no secret that hospitals were empty elsewhere - eg. most of the USA, but not NY-NJ.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Ivan Iriarte Apr 9

Great article, Jonathan. Agree with this since day one... March 2020. No pandemic. Just one more viral respiratory disease... like we have had for ages. And now... with all the hype with covid-19, we are starting to doubt what we always accepted as "fact" ... are viral diseases real?

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon Apr 9

I can't claim personally to have done sufficient work to state unequivocally that viral illnesses do not exist.

Looking more narrowly at acute respiratory illnesses, I have for some time been satisfied that they are not contagious. Therefore the model of these illnesses being caused by infective, submicroscopic particles termed, by some, to be viruses, is definitely false.

I will also say that, for all the so-called viruses I've chased to it's origins, all are false.

LIKE (13) REPLY (2) SHARE

Ivan Iriarte Apr 9 Liked by Jonathan Engler

Good to read this comment, coming from you. I am a medical doctor (retired from practice - today trying to teach medical residents to read all medical literature in a critical manner - tough...). Because of my formation, five years ago, anyone questioning the prevailing model of viruses, contagious respiratory diseases... etc... I would have said was crazy. After the disgrace of what happened with covid, I am not yet ready to throw all of what I was taught 45 years ago out of the window, but certainly I am seriously questioning many things I previously accepted as true.

LIKE (4) REPLY (3) SHARE

John H. Apr 10 · *edited Apr 10* Liked by Jonathan Engler

Great comments. I have had a similar life experience.

Many things we were taught have come under suspicion, especially in the past 4+ years. We had so much detailed material to learn in medical school, we never had the time or reason to question whether the accepted teaching was actually true. Most students lacked the requisite background (e.g., basic science lab research experience) necessary to confirm something that was presented as scientifically proven (an exception would be gross anatomy, the details of which can be verified by studying cadavers and live human subjects in the operating room setting). The existence of viruses is a great example. We had only one

brief treatment on viral disease as part of a medical microbiology course and a similarly abbreviated set lectures on immunology. Needless to say, for those who did not specialize in infectious disease, this is grossly inadequate, especially for ascertaining whether viruses exist and are capable of causing disease in animals and humans.

I have read and studied enough in the past 4 years to question whether the received teaching on viruses is false. I currently have more questions than answers. There seem to be some insurmountable problems with the standard view as traditionally presented. One problem is that the original definition creates what may be an unresolvable dilemma from the start. For example:

Viruses, as traditionally defined must either physically exist or not exist as provable/demonstrable, physical entities, not simply theoretical ones based on in-silico projections. That much is a logical necessity. Unfortunately, the original description posited the existence of extremely small organisms which lacked at least one of the 6 accepted characteristics necessary for something to be considered a living entity, (the ability to reproduce independently, based upon its own internal bio machinery was not present). Since viruses (purportedly) must utilize the host's machinery to reproduce, they did not, strictly speaking, qualify as living things. If that weasly true, and these minute particles actually exist, it can be argued that they should not be characterized as infectious/toxic producing/living micro-organisms but rather toxic particles of some sort, presumably they are capable of causing disease at all. It may be useful to consider Mycoplasma genitalium (which is larger than all but the largest alleged viruses) which has historically been considered the smallest bacterium capable of independent existence. A bacterial growth medium without any foreign cellular components will support its growth. This is not the case with "viruses" which do not grow in non-cellular growth media since there are no ribosomes present. Therefore, it is never really possible to isolate the alleged virus from other cellular and subcellular constituents while it is purportedly using the ribosomes found in the cell culture to reproduce copies of itself. There is always contamination while the virus is reproducing. Even if the alleged viral particles could be physically separated completely (isolated and purified) from all other constituents, by definition, those particles are not replication competent, once isolated. Bacteria on the other hand are replication competent after isolation as soon as an energy source in the form of bacterial growth media is added. No cellular media are necessary.

What I am saying is that perhaps the idea of a viral entity as a transmissible and disease producing living entity (as originally proposed/defined), is untenable. I would greatly appreciate someone responding in detail to what I have written. I am only interested in the truth.

LIKE (5) REPLY (2) SHARE

Ivan Harris · Apr 10

I wish I had the answers to the problems you present. I have exactly the same thoughts. I guess you and I are of the same kind.

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

John H. · Apr 10

Thank you for replying.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

skinnick · Apr 10

I view all this from a hyper-non-specialised knowledge base. But I like to view the perceived wisdom on viruses as a model. Which fits passably well to the evidence. But, the map is not the terrain.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

skinnick · Apr 10 · *edited Apr 10*

To drive home my non-expert perspective, the duality of light as demonstrated by very simple physics experiments has assured (as far as I understand it) a whole branch of quantum physics which has yet to definitively prove anything except the limits of our understanding! So why should a model of a "virus" be any different?

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Rec Findlay · May 4 · ❤ Liked by Jonathan Engler

Don't throw everything out yet Doc, keep your stethoscope that's about the only thing worthwhile. Read the Fleenor report, ALL a big dupe.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Jerry Kelly · Apr 10

https://drsandeep.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/A-FAREWELL-TO-VIROLOGY-Expert-Edition-Eng.pdf For scientists and non-scientists.

My rhetoric: Everything exists, but not everything necessarily "physically" exists. Viruses do exist because we have stories, descriptions, concepts, data structures (in-silico instantiations) and models of them. But there is no evidence that they "physically exist". Even by best definitions, as you state, it is not possible to isolate them, nor it is possible for them to move as particles.

It is very 'interesting' to read about the steps 'scientists' have taken to demonstrate the physical existence of viruses. Give link above.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

John H. · Apr 10

Thanks for this Mike. See below.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Sand Joseph · Joseph's Substack · Apr 9

https://www.globalresearch.ca/german-government-admits-there-was-no-pandemic/5853916

Germany coming clean? Or psyop?

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Dr Phillip Chalmers · Apr 20

This is a pointless denial of reality.

We can, and do, alter RNA viruses.

We know a lethal infection spread throughout the whole world = pandemic by definition

We know the death rate is just under one in a hundred

We know more than 7 million deaths are attributed to Covid and Covid alone by very experience doctors with first world laboratories at hand.

We know that there are about 4.6mes as many who have long, persistent severe symptoms and disabilities and I am just one of them - 55 years a doctor and specialist in Internal Medicine and Infectious disease.

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler · Apr 20 · Author

Death rate of just under 1%, could attribution was done by "very experienced doctors with first world laboratories at hand"???

I suppose you are going to tell me now that the long, persistent symptoms have got absolutely nothing to do with the gene therapy injections?

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Dr Phillip Chalmers · Dr's Newsletter · Apr 26 · *edited Apr 26*

I am vexed by blithering idiocy but here is as good a place as any to make another point.

The name for cow in French is la vache which gave rise to the name vaccination as LIVE cowpox virus was inserted into the skin - this vaccination applied worldwide allowed us to eliminate smallpox from circulating in the human race. I have no doubt it is still alive in biowarfare labs like in Wuhan and its equivalent in Russia, China and USA.

It was not gene therapy, it was inoculation/vaccination.

There were three types of vaccine, the mRNA, the DNA and the protein based.

I have no doubt that the mRNA vaccines have unacceptable side effects which include syndromes identical to long Covid which are in about 4% of all Covid infected people including in nations which had NO mRNA vaccine of any kind.

Hindsight make genius of all, as the mRNA injected turned out to be unstable and so multiple proteins were produced and presented to the immune system and they all were treated by the body as foreign protein and drove the immune system into overdrive just like HIV/AIDS virus does in the body of humans.

Nobody on earth can know in one year the range of effects that a novel, man-made RNA virus is capable of inducing - the rush jobs on testing the safety and efficacy of the novel method of producing a vaccine was announced as provisional and high vigilance was repeatedly recommended.

With or without a vaccine, isolation of the known infected until they recovered, quarantine of their close contacts and physical separation in public areas were all tried and tested and reliable responses to previous deadly airborne viruses and people could not or would not do it properly in many societies.

Are you an alien abductee or do you prefer flat earth science or young earth creationism?

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler · Apr 28 · Author

I'm jealous, I really am. It must be great to have such certainty in everything the "authorities" tell you.

It wasn't have crossed your mind once that the "science eradicated smallpox from the face of the earth" story is riddled with holes.

https://www.isabelsabella.com/p/what%2the-smallpox-vanishing-act

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Liz · Apr 28

It's on the walls of the Jenner museum too. We went on a school excursion a few years ago, part of the itinerary was we were split into two groups, one for, one against the vaccine, endorsed from the museum exhibits only, we fell in the "anti" group, which won very comfortably. Interestingly, the museum lady said, oh the anti side always wins, how strange. We didn't even have time to read right through the newspaper clipping about the Leicester protests, which I've since read about, to find the epidemics were eventually ended by STOPPING the vaccine

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Sez777 · Apr 29

Sorry Doc, I hate to break it to you.

Here's Australia's Office of the Gene Technology Regulator approval for "it's not a gene therapy" AstraZeneca Genetically Modified COVID-19 Vaccine.

https://www.ogtr.gov.au/sites/default/files/2021-06/dir180-licence_conditions.pdf

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Erica, "Threat To Democracy" · Apr 17

David Icke has been so consistently on point... Awaiting the reptilian stuff to also be true by next decade, if only as a result of those sketchy mRNA shots

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Kim Trefts · Apr 17

PLANdemic

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Stephen King · Apr 17

These in the protocol establishment of Remdesivir, combined with respirators by the CDC and you have a fake "pandemic".

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Tim Boyer · Cooper's Corner T&B · Apr 17 · *edited Apr 17*

I believe there were multiple reasons behind it. In the short term links exist between the Military, Russia, and Ukraine. In 2019 the World Economic Forum went from 17 Agendas to 30. On their WEB page a call to quicken the pace. Even suggested a Revolution. 70 Countries were flipped by the US and its WSF partners with Epstein's plans and selling election equipment. ADC reportedly asked to keep the States closed just in case Trump wins in 2020. They used the Colored Revolution and the experience of the US and removed Trump from office. The violent Coup which succeeded on Jan 6, 2021. Depopulation started in 1969 with Fauci, NIH, Fort Detrick, and gain of function. Decades of changes to bring a One World Government into existence (2021). Said in pure Evil. In the G18 Prince William at Davos wanted a Trillion Dollar Army for those who pushed back against the rise of the WEF. After Trump, He got the US Military under Biden.

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Alan Desmonds · Apr 17

Exactly! All lies.

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Susan · Fraud Prevention Hotline · Apr 14 · *edited Apr 14*

My answer to : "Desantis is the man" / my refutation of his mythical "Covid"

Actually, I didn't want to deal with claims that have long since been disproven. But I may need the test again later for the purpose of refutation ? That's why I thought: why not?

Here is my refutation of your mythical "Covid" :

What you present does not meet the practical test. Not only a medically educated person knows that if a single component of the foundation is wrong, then the entire structure built upon the scientific conclusions based on it become wrong. As far as "Covid" is concerned, it was like this: In China, a sequence was taken from the lungs of a deceased person who was proven to have all the typical symptoms of common pneumonia. A fraudulent PCR test was designed from this sequence. Therefore, all of this pseudo-evidence that you have presented is based on false basic assumptions that it would be SARS-CoV-2 virus and is therefore proven to be "proof" only based upon pseudo-evidence. According to conventional medicine, every flu illness involves hundreds of different corona viruses, which no one was interested in at the time. That's why these viruses don't have a name. Anyone can imagine how easy it would be to name one of them "SARS-CoV-2" and create a panicked fear of death.

However, I believe that this is also wrong, because no medical institute has so far been able to respond positively to a FOIA request that it has the isolated "SARS-CoV-2" virus.

But that doesn't matter because there was no excess mortality in the world in 2020 and because 6 different respiratory diseases supposedly disappeared ? Who does something like that ? Is this scientifically explainable or possible? The number of people who die every year from these suddenly disappeared, common, seasonal illnesses consequently exactly the number of alleged "Covid deaths". Who would have thought ? According to statistics, nothing new happened in 2020 because everything was the same as every other year. What's more, there were even slightly fewer deaths. Anyone who claims that the lockdowns caused this, I ask them to look at Sweden: no lockdowns and no excess mortality either. The circle is closing and the most important evidence of the Corona fraud lies in the numbers that Prof. Denis Rancourt and Prof. Norman Fenton have proven. The school dropouts from the circle of so-called "fact checkers" to the defense professors and Nobel Prize winners, as if this were possible. People know where the troll lands come from and that it is a well-paid scam. The only thing they occasionally manage to do is confuse those who believe in authority but who become wise as more previously healthy people suddenly die after the two "Bourbi + bmb".

Your text modules, carefully prepared by an advertising agency, do not reach the level of the study results of the above-mentioned professors. The cheap polemics and emotionally colored defamations cannot support your "expressive" screenshots and other colorful images. Unfortunately, nothing can stop the truth.

You can find all this evidence, links etc in my Substack :

https://susan1.substack.com/

LIKE (2) REPLY (3) SHARE

Removed · Apr 14 · *edited Apr 14*

Comment removed

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Susan · Fraud Prevention Hotline · Apr 14

Lies you to send proof of your qualifications first. I want to know whether you are even in a position to contradict Prof. Rancourt and Prof. Fenton. I suspect that you are copying ordinary answers from a text module prepared by other people and are simply using rhetorical tricks. Can you send your qualifications as proof of a reputable interview partner? Otherwise, what you are doing and offering here would simply be gaslighting.

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed · Apr 14

Comment removed

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Rob Kay · Scottish reflections · Apr 15

We did not spend the last four years poring over the data to suddenly convert to the Covidian Cult. You're in the wrong space here.

LIKE (4) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed · Apr 15

Comment removed

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Rob Kay · Scottish reflections · Apr 15

Oh, we did all that over the past four years, and that is quite long enough to come to a firm conclusion. Covid-19 was a routine cold virus, and nobody died of it. OK?

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed · Apr 15

Comment removed

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Rob Kay · Scottish reflections · Apr 15

I've run the figures. Millions died of massively inappropriate treatments, lockdowns and behind-turfs, but almost nobody seems to have died of Covid.

Look I've had Covid-19 and I didn't even see my family doctor or go to the pharmacy - I'm 70 years old for Christsake - its the common cold. The rest was total, pure, hype.

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed · Apr 15

Comment removed

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Rob Kay · Scottish reflections · Apr 15

Sorry dear Desantis, I made a very good living for several decades as a Public Health Strategist, and to me, running figures as simple as this is something that I have no control over - when I go to bed at night and fall asleep my head runs the sums from, e.g. the Diamond Princess, and in the morning I wake up with the solutions - which are that there was no Pandemic.

LIKE (4) REPLY (1) SHARE

Continue Thread →

LIKE (4) REPLY (1) SHARE

Pat Sgro · Coach Marketing Sucks · Apr 13

God pro. And I have a dragon living in my garage.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Susan Landers, MD · MomsMatter · Apr 13

Are you kidding??

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Sand Joseph · Joseph's Substack · Apr 13

Btw,

No one ever does with the flu.

But with "flu like conditions"

It is influenced by the energy of the planet, ergo "influenza".

Money to be made calling it a virus.

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Susan · Fraud Prevention Hotline · Apr 13

There is some evidence that the article's harsh critics are actually 100% paid people. What I don't know is whether they actually still have no idea in 2024, or whether they're lying because they have to. Apparently everyone is paid because otherwise they can't waste their time. These people often contradict themselves in their posts. One of the examples: they says he can refute the article within 3 minutes and suggests that the author supposedly does not want to agree to the meeting. This claim alone is illogical. Who would want to hang out with crazy conspiracists? Furthermore, it is known that the honest scientists were not invited to the media because they were actually able to expose the official narrative as a fraud within 3 minutes. A simple question exposes the commentator as a man paid by the advertising agencies: if he can refute the article within 3 minutes, then why doesn't he do it in writing here immediately? Or a woman who supposedly got lost here but didn't want to read the article. But she has read it and is now complaining? He he he ! The personal Substack says it all. Superficial things quickly put together to pretend to be a normal person who isn't incognito here. She would have to come up with a better idea for her Pinterkin villages to make it look real.

The naively and harmlessly presented "unique experiences" with the bad course of the alleged Covid are the most popular among the trolls. They quickly show their stupidity by exaggerating things like "everyone was seriously ill, all friends, aunts, uncles, relatives, etc.", and then they mention the rare, secondary complications that are possible after any respiratory illness however, are rare. They call it "Long Covid" - a term that was invented in 2020 for propaganda purposes. Without knowing how rare this is, someone writes here that EVERYONE has lost their sense of taste and smell. There are trolls who are not so quick to contradict themselves. But there are not the ones who are paid by an advertising agency. Not kicking these people out borders on masochism in my opinion. I congratulate the author for being able to hit the truth so well! Bad work is never as heavily trolled.

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

JFurnicious · Apr 12

❤

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Justin Shumaker Apr 12
Everyone has to have a hobby, I guess.
LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

The Chief Bunkum · The Bunkum Manifesto · Apr 12
Edit: Many people, myself included, experienced what appeared to be very novel symptoms during the Covid years. If this wasn't a novel virus, then what it would take for you to consider something a novel virus?

I greatly respect all the work you've done. You've continually followed the evidence (rather than the rhetoric) and tell the narrative (which you even correctly interpret IS neither the data nor the facts on the ground). This leads to me take what you're saying seriously.

However, I'm having a hard time squaring the idea that there was no novel virus with the personal and very novel experiences of illness that me and my close friends and family had.

During the Covid years, I and many of my family and friends became ill with some infectious agent that caused symptoms that were novel for every single person infected. This included both the loss of senses (of taste and smell, combined with "either" shortness of breath "or" severe gut issues (more severe than "normal flu", since it included inability to control bowel movements) - but not both (at least not within my circle).

In addition, some who didn't experience the original illness became ill later (during the time the health authorities said the Omicron strain was spreading) from what appeared to be a related agent. It caused the same novel symptoms as the original, only milder, combined with fatigue of a severity which was also novel for every person in this group who experienced the recognizable novel symptoms (loss of taste/smell, and again either shortness of breath or gut issues but not both).

So, at least for all the people I know, this illness appeared novel. This group of people had over 300 combined years of life, and decades of travel all over the world, some during prior outbreaks including SARS. That's quite a bit of combined experience being exposed to many different illnesses. And what I've read of other people's experiences with this novel illness seem to suggest it was novel for many, if not most of the people who contracted it.

I understand that other respiratory pathogens have existed with other infectious agents in the past. However, I'm not aware of any pre-existing agent which had this combined set of characteristics. If you're aware of one, I'd be happy to know what it is.

If you're not aware of one, then my question is: what would it take for you to consider a novel virus?
LIKE (3) REPLY (2) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 12 Author
Thank you for respectfully putting your case - unlike some other comments here.

I haven't got time to answer fully, other than make the case that I believe the nocebo effect has been hugely underestimated. My thesis is that with relentless military-grade pay-ops blasting out of every media outlet for months / years it would be surprising if that had no effect on anyone's reaction to having any symptoms + a "positive covid test".

I haven't looked it much, but did manage to write this:

https://iainfyalisfield.substack.com/p/the-nocebo-effect-play-a-huge

BTW I also just published this:

https://iainfyalisfield.substack.com/p/c-all-in-the-timeline
LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

The Chief Bunkum · The Bunkum Manifesto · Apr 21
Yes, I agree the nocebo effect likely inflated reported cases of Covid - and still does.

In this particular case, my wife and I both became ill with these symptoms before either of us had heard of Covid. And early on during the Covid panic, the official list of Covid symptoms didn't even include loss of taste and smell, so even then we didn't connect what we had with the panic.

In March 2020, about a week before lockdowns began in some major metros like the S.F. bay area, I started looking into the Covid panic to see what all the fuss was about. It wasn't until then that I made the connection with our earlier illness and the symptoms that by that time were associated with the new scare. But also at the time, the authorities kept saying that Covid didn't get here until January or early March, so the official narrative was that we couldn't have gotten what they were calling Covid. (Of course, it was later determined that whatever it was, it got here much earlier than the official reports, and as the virus mutated it became more contagious, but our infection in January of 2020 eventually fit within the adjusted time frame).

So, long story short, I don't think the nocebo effect applies to that first illness. The nocebo effect may have been adapted to the second infection, and the infections that others in my circle got. But putting those later infections aside, there was something which had symptoms which appeared novel from that initial infection.

And to be direct, even the small of my diarrhea was distinct (and unlike any other time I've had it) both times I've experienced the loss of taste and smell (early 2020 and late 2021).

Not trying to convince you here. Just stating a real experience from before the panic started, and before the "standard" list of Covid symptoms was even decided on, let alone published.

So again, I respect what you say, and it's made me reconsider whether what I experienced was a novel pathogen. However, I still wonder if there isn't something that we're all missing, and if maybe we should better define what it would take to determine if whatever agent caused real illnesses during the highly-engineered panic was novel or not.

Or to put it simply, what evidence would we need to be able to conclusively state that the infections, specifically the early ones, were novel or not?

The answer may be that we'd need good samples from those infected early on to work with. And if so, it may be that we don't have those and now never will. But it seems like it would be helpful to clearly define what it would take.
LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Susesh · Fraud Prevention Hotline · Apr 12 · *edited Apr 12*
With the scammers running out of evidence, all that's left are unprovable "personal experiences." Unfortunately, there is no evidence of an increase in hospital admissions in 2020. Only since the "vaccinations" in 2021 have there been countless destroyed immune systems, heart attacks, deaths, etc. It was only from January 2021 that there were "extraordinary illnesses", including the weakening of intelligence due to micro-thrombosis in the brain. Fortunately, not everyone who is "vaccinated" suffers from this. But that's why those who have been "multiple protected" by the mRNA bioweapons are now telling such absurdities without being able to provide any evidence. The people who do not have cerebral thrombosis know it, without additional numbers, they cannot be any additional new diseases. Isn't it wonderful that good old statistics still exist ?

Everything I say here you know very well. You yourself recommended this article by Prof. Norman Fenton on your Substack. His studies are among the important keys to understanding :

"WHERE ARE THE NUMBERS ?" :

https://johnnyfenton.substack.com/p/?utm_source=homepage_recommendation&utm_campaign=1276029

A quote from Prof. Norman Fenton :

We reviewed the medical literature and found that most of the papers on covid-19-CT findings actually suggest findings indistinguishable from those associated with influenza or bacterial pneumonia. It appears to be very difficult (or impossible) to reliably differentiate between influenza and bacterial pneumonia and covid-19 on CT scans.

Source : https://johnnyfenton.substack.com/p/a-closer-look-at-spikopathy-as-the
LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Susesh · Fraud Prevention Hotline · Apr 12 · *edited Apr 12*
There was no pandemic, no short or long Covid, no SARS-CoV-2 virus and no real "Covid" deaths. Most people died of secondary bacterial pneumonia due to incorrect hospital protocols.

The entire alleged "pandemic situation" was based only on fraudulent PCR tests and constantly repeated propaganda. There were no clear points of virus spread, no routes of transmission and no waves of transmission. The concept of the pandemic in all places at the same time contradicts real science.

Source : https://rumble.com/v0ewqyt-world-leading-scientists-speak-to-us-members-of-parliament-on-december-20.html
LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Susesh · Fraud Prevention Hotline · Apr 12 · *edited Apr 12*
There was no pandemic, no short or long Covid, no SARS-CoV-2 virus and no real "Covid" deaths. Most people died of secondary bacterial pneumonia due to incorrect hospital protocols.

The entire alleged "pandemic situation" was based only on fraudulent PCR tests and constantly repeated propaganda. There were no clear points of virus spread, no routes of transmission and no waves of transmission. The concept of the pandemic in all places at the same time contradicts real science.

Source : https://rumble.com/v0ewqyt-world-leading-scientists-speak-to-us-members-of-parliament-on-december-20.html
LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Susesh · Fraud Prevention Hotline · Apr 11
My letter to a friend about Jonathan Engler's article

April 11, 2024.

Dear Helen, I would like to add something. Jonathan Engler's article is actually something special. All I wanted was to highlight the value of his article. The author wrote me an email, which I answered as follows :

" Jonathan, perhaps the need for brevity also helped why the article turned out so well? Many have tried to publish such a summary. But I think that no far only you have succeeded so well. Everything else was a bit "too fragmentary" and "scattered". The ability to send your article to friends who have not yet done sufficient research represents a great utility value of the article. I congratulate you ! "

My entire Substack is dedicated solely to so that people don't have to search for arguments to convince their friends and family. That's why the value of my Substack lies not in what I write, but only in the links that I publish that are used to important works and studies by the most important scientists. Of course I dream of being able to write something by myself. I don't have enough time for that at the moment. So for now I just focus on accumulating links that I find very captivating, so everyone can use anything they want to inform others who don't have enough time to do their research. That is the sole purpose of my Substack. Conveniently, I can work with this. I can answer someone's question by simply linking to an already finished article.

And imagine, suddenly I see an article by Jonathan Engler that briefly lists everything that I had to write so many different articles for. To get to the point. My Substack is intended to let people don't have to spend a lot of time searching for synthetic, fragmentary information. So it should be a kind of compendium. I had to write nearly 50 (?) different articles and I still didn't cover all the most important topics. And there I see a single article by Jonathan, who briefly described everything at once and in simple, convincing words. Rightness ? "Justice" ? but rather he has summarized everything at once. I hope that everyone can see the high utility value of their item.

Jonathan Engler has achieved something that no one has done before. Behind the simplicity of his text lies a very well thought-out and perfectly designed concept that can quickly convince anyone who has had less time for research. What happened, suddenly seems very plausible and the portrayal of the last few years seems convincing. This can easily convince even a "skeptic" and only then the value of his article can only be seen at the second or third glance. In my opinion, the matter is worth taking this look at the essentials a little further.

I don't think it's an exaggeration to say that someone who sends Jonathan Engler's articles to their friends can probably do more to enlightenment than if they had linked all of my articles together.

Source : https://t.me/DrMarkHoulahan/channel/CMqD3J463

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Carol L. Clark · From the Left · Apr 11
If I'd listen, Jonathan Engler's piece is a load of crap. He makes a long series of assertions and offers no authority as to collected data, its collection and interpretive methodology, no replication by rational, reputable scientists, nothing. Just his screed with no backup. What is required from a bit of assertions like his is citation to proof that his assertions have been tested and replicated and vetted by peer-reviewed methodologies and the certifications and qualifications of those who had the same results. His article is pure crap, pure conspiracy theory. And he calls those of us who point out the fraudulence of his pics "lazy." I submitted a long list of publications and websites that show his screed to be a flat out series of opinion lies. The lunacy comes from those who fail to pursue the truth and accuracy of what windbags like Mr. Engler has posted. Be advised to print out his post, fold it up and line your bird cage with it.
LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Susesh · Fraud Prevention Hotline · Apr 12 · *edited by Jonathan Engler*
" [...] The trolls' initial exercise of "safe and effective" could no longer be sustained. After this lie was debunked and enough people heard about it, the perpetrators told us that Fauci's toxic soup was still better than a cold, which they called "Covid." Now the perpetrators are simply focusing on the claim that "Covid" was created. It is the perpetrators' line of defence, for which they need censorship and an army of trolls. [...] "

(From : https://johnnyfenton.substack.com/p/the-perpetrators-last-line-of-defence)

Jonathan Engler will be able to enjoy his achievement for the rest of his life. He will never be an old, bitter man who can't stand the look of himself in the mirror. Jonathan will forever have wonderful memories from the past. He stood on the side of truth, saved people from a toxic bioweapon and did not work for the perpetrators' dirty money out of greed and stupidity. He will always be able to remain a contented and happy person.
LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Jonathan Engler · Apr 12 Author
Thank you but my optimism level is so with the message cuts through to the populace or gets drowned out and / or censored into oblivion frequently waves. I just don't know how this ends.

Appreciate it, though I think it's important not to focus on individuals such as myself, when it's been a collective effort by many collaborators of mine to work things out to the extent we think we have.
LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Susesh · Fraud Prevention Hotline · Apr 12 · *edited by Jonathan Engler*
Dear Jonathan,

I'm sorry I haven't been able to express appreciation to your staff yet. I don't yet know the organizational details of PANDA, so I apologize to any contributors I overlooked. Visibility from the other side of the Atlantic is somewhat limited (unlike). The wealth of appreciation and recognition are like positive energy that you accept with one hand so that you can pass it on with the other hand.

I don't think anything you do can be lost. As an example, I can tell you that every time I look at your article, I can see all the important points, together when writing an article, so that I don't miss or forget any of these points. It is a kind of well-thought-out and well formulated compendium that I have not seen before.

I also can't know exactly what will happen to my work (and that I know that the energy is never lost. This applies even if I cannot see the results of my work. I think that still water is also capable of shaping the banks at some point, or at least clearing the banks of dirty slime.

I'll go even further and say that your collaborative articles can be useful and effective even if no one but the author would read them. This is because the author is very good at organizing his thoughts while writing. This can prove to be very effective later. Seen this way, everything makes sense.

The same probably applies to what we don't do. In I think that everything beautiful and good that someone does will often only be able to bear fruit later. I cannot know what will happen later, but intuitively I believe that your joint work can and will be just to good use by many people later. I don't know when that will happen, I can really use your work now. Thank you for that !

Best wishes for you and your employees !

-Susesh

P.S.

Please forgive my, Jonathan, the language errors, but unfortunately I don't speak English. However, meeting Mike Yeadon triggered a kind of avalanche of coincidences, so that almost all readers of my articles are English speakers. It's strange, but I had no choice but to write in English.
LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Susesh · Fraud Prevention Hotline · Apr 11
This Substack website contains all the well-documented scientific evidence for the accuracy of all of Jonathan Engler's claims :

https://buzzwatt.substack.com/

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

DAN SEG · DANN Substack · Apr 11
THE SAND BUCKET
V06.04.2024
This population have their heads in
the sand bucket ...

https://open.substack.com/pub/danseg/p/the-sand-bucket?r=17pg0f&utm_medium=ios

---

Michael · Michael's Substack · Apr 11

Carol L. Clark · From the Left · Apr 10
Kindly furnish your source. Lacking that, I do most reliable sources of information readily available to those in search of the truth on Google. For instance, Reuters, USA Today, PolitiFact, Johns Hopkins, Agence France-Presse, Wikipedia, Kaiser Family Foundation now known as KFF News, Google fact check, Electronic Frontier Foundation... I could go on. You could actually go on Google and pick up world-wide true information that, to date, over 7 million people world wide have linked, died from the COVID pandemic. Or you can believe what this person has written which is just another far right conspiracy theory. Take your pick. Don't believe me? Google worldwide authorities.
LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler · Apr 10 Author
I can't decide whether you're being ironic or not?
LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Sw777 · Apr 23
Lol. "In search of the truth on Google" was your first mistake.
LIKE REPLY SHARE

Linda Maeda · Apr 10 · *edited Apr 13*
Rechtsnet.com, ITA.pass.org/ts.0c
LIKE REPLY SHARE

Josh Mitteldorf · Inspiration, Science, and Math... · Apr 10 · *edited Apr 10*
I'm generally in agreement, but you have unnecessarily stretched beyond what you can prove. The big message you get right is that mishandling of the disease was far worse than the disease itself. Suppression of early treatment and coercion to accept a dangerous vaccine were the main causes of injury.

But COVID is a nasty disease, as the spike protein of the virus was genetically engineered to damage circulation, nervous system, and heart.
LIKE (3) REPLY (4) SHARE

Alan · Apr 10 · *edited by Jonathan Engler*
There was no pandemic and no unique viral pathogen, there was mass murder in hospitals and nursing homes, data fraud, make-believe "cases" and a mass media propaganda event catapulted at the behest of the financiers who run the show.

"Covid" is pure fiction. They made up a new disease for the purposes that are widely known.

There is no such thing as a "Covid illness" or a "Covid death." SARS/CoV-2 itself is a computer generated fiction.

SARS-CoV-2 involved in assembling a particular simulacrum, the "genomic sequencing" for SARS-CoV-2 is complete fraud.

"Covid" is nothing more than a fraudulent PCR result plus a nebulous clinical re-branding of cold, flu and many other disease conditions.
LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Josh Mitteldorf · Inspiration, Science, and Math... · Apr 10
My personal experience disagrees with this. I was at a party with a friend who was sick, and I got sick afterward. It lasted 12 days. When I tested positive for COVID I felt miserable. When I stopped testing positive, I felt better. For me, COVID had all the characteristics of a nasty virus.

I agree there were many false positives for the PCR test. I agree that people were murdered in hospitals. I agree that masking and social distancing were fraudulent and made matters worse. I agree that the vaccines were murder.
LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Beach Hippie · On the Fringes · Apr 10
So many that got sick in a 2-3 year window claimed they know covid was real because common illness that happens all the time happened then.

There is no way to break that anecdotal spell where a concerned authority framed the situation and people believed it. And do you really think that the powers that be would declare a pandemic not already knowing that they could produce numbers, no way they'd take that chance.

Power also doesn't actually care about people's health, or they wouldn't create such a ridiculously unhealthy environment especially in America where they price people out of medical treatment like opportunistic assholes preying on people at their weakest moments.

Also, the most common cause of illness pertaining to colds/flu infections along with the severity of that illness has almost nothing to do with how virulent any pathogen is but correlates highly with a reduction in immune system response.
LIKE (4) REPLY (1) SHARE

Bob, the Free Radical · Bob's Substack · Apr 10
I agree & Thank you for expressing yourself ...
LIKE REPLY SHARE

Pamela Redbuck · Apr 11
SOMETHING made many people ill, but it wasn't a virus! "virus. Here one of many excellent interviews by Dr. Sam Bailey of New Zealand for starters:

https://drsambailey.com/resources/videos/interviews/runaway-virology-marvin-wins-in-court/
LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

JG · Apr 10
The most common characteristic of the so-called "covid" infection is actually no symptoms.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Allen Apr 10

Why do you by default attribute your illness to a virus let alone "COVID?"

Have you looked into how they did the "genomic sequencing" (it was actually an assemblage) for the alleged SARS-CoV-2?

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

John H. Apr 10

I hear you saying what you had was similar to nasty colds or the flu that you experienced in the past (whatever they are actually caused by). Did you have blood drawn at the time to see if there was any evidence of systemic infection/inflammation? For example, CBC with differential, ESR etc.? Were any other medical tests performed?

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

JG Apr 10

ROFL. Covid is a psyop, nothing more.

LIKE (4) REPLY SHARE

Baldmichael Baldmichael's Letter from Engla... Apr 12

COVID was and is the 'flu rebranded, renamed. This should have been obvious to everybody in 2020. All vaccines are dangerous to varyiing degrees.

https://baldmichael.substack.com/p/what-is-the-flu-aka-covid-19-and

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Jennifer Heaven Apr 11

If it is the spike protein which is so toxic what in the hell were we thinking of injecting ourselves with the means to turn our cells into a spike factory?

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Thomas Lewis Useless Liberal Apr 9

.

I've Got $100 That Says :

If Enough Doctors Die

We Can Cure Cancer.

.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Stephen Due Apr 9

The only rational explanation for the almost instantaneous spread of the virus globally was provided by the Australian molecular immunologist and astrobiologist Prof Ted Steele. In an interview in May 2020, he described the Covid virus as an ordinary type of cold virus, which presented a risk to comorbid elderly people only. He argued that epidemics of animal origin had been hypothesised often but had never been demonstrated. He sugested that the Covid virus appeared in metorite dust from an asteroid entering the stratosphere over central China and spread rapidly in a predictable pattern to areas including Italy and New York.

LIKE (2) REPLY (2) SHARE

Christine Massey FOIs Christine Massey's "germ" FOI N... Apr 10

"the Covid virus as an ordinary type of cold virus" - this part of his claim is true, because all "coronaviruses" are imaginary, never found in even 1 sick or healthy person. Ask him for valid scientific evidence of any "virus" and watch him crumble.

I think maybe his comment about meteorite dust from an asteroid should have been a clue that he's a just a hot air bag.

LIKE (5) REPLY SHARE

Allen Apr 10

The "Covid virus" was a memo released at Jackson Hole in 2019 by central bankers.

They murdered a bunch of fragile people in Spring 2020 to create the desired effect.

I'm guessing you haven't looked at China's mortality data. The images that you saw from China were courtesy of the NED- not China itself. All lies,

LIKE (4) REPLY SHARE

Kevin Hammond CMT kevin's Substack Apr 9

Exactly! I said that from the very beginning!

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

carlo Il Substack di carlo Apr 9

(scusate la lingua italiana, ma non avendo la padronanza perfetta con quella inglese, non verrei che ci fossero errori nel mio post causa traduzione di google)

Relativamente al vostro punto 5 vorrei suggerire altri 2 punti che ritengo importanti e degni di nota:

f) il 27 gennaio 2020, solo 24 ore dopo averlo ricevuto, la rivista europea Eurosurveillance pubblica un articolo a firma Cristian Drosten in cui, sostanzialmente, si conferma la vostra cronologia evidenziata e si offrono nuovi test validati dalla tecnica PCR. Da quanto mi risulta, sarà la "ricetta" poi adottata da OMS nei mesi a seguire.

https://www.eurosurveillance.org/content/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045

g) il 31 gennaio 2020 (4 giorni dopo l'articolo di Cristian Drosten) il Governo italiano dichiara lo "stato di emergenza in conseguenza del rischio sanitario connesso all'insorgenza di patologie derivanti da agenti virali trasmissibili"

Grazie per l'attenzione.

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Saoirse Apr 9

Hello Carlo... thanks for your comment. No need for apologies for writing in Italian I have some understanding of the Italian language but I can write more easily in English.

I lived in Italy as a child and spent many summers on the Adriatic coast and have an attachment to Italy. I have wondered if regular people are awake? If a sizeable number are awake what are Italians doing about the fact that citizens were lied to and convinced to take an experimental product?

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

carlo Il Substack di carlo May 17

...da qualche periodo c'è un pò più di risveglio, ma è stata davvero dura.

Sono convinto che tutto, purtoppo sia partito da quì.

Molte associazioni, tra cui quella di cui sono presidente, organizzano incontri e convegni a livello locale con esperti in materia...

Per chi ha diffidato sin dall'inizio l'inganno è ormai chiarissimo...con i test PCR hanno evidenziato una malattia simil-influenzale e l'hanno spacciata per la peste bubbonica incurabile, hanno autorizzato le nuove terapie a mRNA (con E.U.A.) e cercato di spingere sul controllo e sull' ID digitale.

Diciamo che non tutti hanno ancora compreso bene l'inganno e le morti iatrogene negli ospedali ma, certamente quasi tutti hanno smesso di fare volontariamente i vaccini e oggi, pur preferendo dimenticare il passato, diffidano pesantemente... un primo buon risultato... forza e coraggio e un saluto.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Roshan Ali Roshan's Newsletter Apr 9

Excellent summary Jonathan 👍

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Ken Hiebert BlogOfKen Apr 24

I'm curious why you say, "There is no evidence that viruses can be engineered so as to have dangerous pandemic potential." Haven't they been working on that for decades?

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 24 Author

Working on it isn't the same as doing it.

What actually IS the evidence that they can engineer viruses to have pandemic potential?

LIKE REPLY (3) SHARE

Ken Hiebert BlogOfKen Apr 24 Liked by Jonathan Engler

I don't really know. I think the theory that the lab leak vs natural origin are both red herrings has merit. I honestly hadn't really thought much about it until that Panda article. It's compelling, for sure. It may also be the simplest explanation, but that would make that entire event even more nefarious and conspiratorial than it already is - and that's saying a lot.

I've written a bunch of stuff on this file, so I've got a pretty good grasp of the context. It wouldn't take much to convince me.

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 27 Author

The simplest explanations should always be regarded as default hypotheses. The obligation to falsify rests with the believers of more complex explanations to prove the truthfulness of their hypotheses. That is how science has always advanced before.

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 27 Author

And the explanations given for the lack of anything at all being noticed before the declaration of the "emergency" are complex, involving a series of new and entirely untested and unevidenced assumptions about seasonal triggers or genomic mutation or other fantasy elements.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Dr. Paul Alexander Alexander MAGA COVID News; a PC... May 11

boom

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Dr. Paul Alexander Alexander MAGA COVID News; a PC... May 11 · edited May 11

lots of this was theoretical and they can show us documents and theory but cannot prove many aspects. we are right to question.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Linda Meads Apr 28

Absolute respect here for your work/opinion and for that of Dr Yeadon. There is a gap though.

Please watch this testimony from John Watt. He starts by describing the symptoms he suffered in 2020 following a flight from South Africa, culminating in an op for heart stents.

Then please answer this question, if you can, to provide illumination to the many people who became sick in 2019/2020 with weird flu and/or respiratory/cardiac symptoms, well before the bio-weapon injection rollout.

If a GOF pathogen did not exist then what was it that caused episodes of this type of sickness to be reported? If you fail to deal with this and the 'happy hypoxic' stories, if you simply dismiss the medical evidence of these incidents and insist there was no pathogen created/ released, without dealing with this type of ubiquitous evidence, you cut the legs from under the rest of your argument.

https://youtu.be/_1TgQCCUoeA?si=Hkl6sUS6yogOU6YQ

LIKE REPLY (2) SHARE

Dr. Paul Alexander Alexander MAGA COVID News; a PC... May 11

I argue something was there, and Engler is not saying that there was not nor I...but it does not need to be a GoF virus.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Dr. Paul Alexander Alexander MAGA COVID News; a PC... May 11

see my stack and this is exceptional work by PANDA...

https://palexander.substack.com/p/is-gain-of-function-gof-research

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Stuart Murray Stuart's Substack May 9

https://sagehana.substack.com/p/comparison-of-some-adverse-health

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Linda Meads May 9

They deployed something. Coxiella Burnetii? What if Sarscov2 was a red herring but they'd perfected the aerosolisation of CB as a bio-weapon in level 4 labs. What if CB was aerosolised and deployed worldwide in 2019/20, on planes, underground stations, crowded areas in major cities. Q Fever has similar symptoms to sarscov2. leading to pneumonia and endocarditis. It was not tested for as they weren't looking for it but could have been, using a PCR test. Or did they design the PCR to pick up CB? What if the (fairly available) Coxiella B. Pathogen was a component of (some batches) of the jabs?

Look at the symptoms here.

https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/q-fever/facts

LIKE REPLY (2) SHARE

Dr. Paul Alexander Alexander MAGA COVID News; a PC... May 11

yes

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Linda Meads May 11

Thank you. Based on people's accounts of their illness from Europe and Asia I heard in 2019/20 I suspected a deployed pathogen. Found first mention on here. Why do you think people aren't crediting this?

'Epidemic of Fraud'

See Coxiella Burnetii

at 1:25:00

https://youtu.be/1tYQq_d-8Y0?si=XvYSKlWV0XGmSy0P

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Stuart Murray Stuart's Substack May 9

Maybe they can't make scary infectious diseases like they would have us believe. Maybe they just use poison, of which electromagnetic radiation is a type of poison, as well as many chemicals. I would recommend following Sasha Latypova and Katherine Watt if you have any more questions about this.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Rob Kay Scottish reflections Apr 19 · *edited Apr 19*

https://wherearethenumbers.substack.com/p/italian-study-calculates-covid-19 Italian study calculates Covid-19 vaccine reduces average life expectancy by four months

by adjusting for significant biases in observational data

MARTIN NEIL AND NORMAN FENTON

APR 19, 2024 (The preprint can be cited publicly (but not reused), specifying that "THE ARTICLES IN THE REPOSITORY ARE NOT SUBJECT TO PEER REVIEW". The Repository states: "the copyright holder for this preprint is the author, who has granted E&P Repository a license to display the preprint in perpetuity".)

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Ken Taub The 100 Foot Ladder Apr 18

My favorite part of the whole thing was the 1.2 million American crisis actors who faked their deaths. Can you believe it?

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 18 Author

It still amazes me when people leave comments that clearly indicate they haven't read the article, let alone thought about any of the points raised. More so when it's only a page long.

Funny you mention crisis actors though, when so many WERE actually used on social media to whip up the fear.

LIKE (5) REPLY (1) SHARE

Ken Taub The 100 Foot Ladder Apr 19

I'm still agog with the 8 million Covid crisis actors faking their deaths -- worldwide! Why don't those Hollywood Liberals offer up a Mass Lifetime (Deathtime) acheivement award to all those very talented unknowns? I'll tell you why Jon: Because they're too busy suppressing the fact Q-Anon was about to release proof of the staged 1969 moon landing AND Obama's youth in Kenya and Cuba! That's why!

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 19 Author

Are you practicing for the "hand-waving irrelevant distraction commenter" category of the Olympics?

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Ken Taub The 100 Foot Ladder Apr 19

I'm going into my garage now to talk to the concrete wall. It will be considerably more productive. You're either a singularly unfunny satirist or a third-rate huckster or a 2-legged waste of time. But I do wish you good health & greater sanity in the years to come. Adios Jonny.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Kim Trells Apr 17

🎯💥

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Suavek Fraud Prevention Hotline Apr 17 · *edited Apr 17*

An article about, among other things, the false narratives of trolls :

The perpetrators' last line of defence

The shortest explanation of the difference in narratives.

https://suavek1.substack.com/p/the-perpetrators-last-line-of-defense

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Guy Lavake Apr 15

Testing state control

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

John Styslinger Apr 15

Almost a mile dead!!!!

Explain why?

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Suavek Fraud Prevention Hotline Apr 14

Someone asked the following question here:

"Where have all these shills and trolls come from? lol."

Here is a detailed answer :

https://t.me/QueueForBrain/14660

Kind regards !

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Leigh Anderson Apr 13

So, was Trump lying to you too?

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Bard Joseph Joseph's Substack Apr 13

If there were sick people, they may have had the flu. Hard for them to move on.

But.

We all may be ✅right.

Firstenberg's timely book " The Invisible Rainbow" shows with data that Influenza is caused by EMF in the natural environment, and throughout ancient history, created pandemics around the world (no germs or viruses) including the Black Plague.

With the introduction of the Telegraph lines (Spanish flu) He outlines with data out breaks relating to the growth of this technology around the Empires.

We are now at the height of the 11 years sun flare cycle beginning in 2019 (Covid).

The next cycle arrives in 2030

(UN Agenda 2030). Add the introduction of 5G in 2019.

"The Science" of the oligarchs recognize this. We now have limited hangouts about viruses, hidden therapeutics, lockdowns, digita IDs, disputes on Substack, War Fever 😉 and the list goes on...............as the graphene oxide begins to take hold.

Sorry, just joking, a conspiracy theory.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Bard Joseph Joseph's Substack Apr 13

Judging by the comments, you seem to be over the target.

Cheers

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Suavek Fraud Prevention Hotline Apr 11 · *edited Apr 11*

This Substack website contains all the well-documented scientific evidence for the accuracy of all of Jonathan Engler's claims :

https://suavek1.substack.com/

....

I couldn't have put it better. That's why I'm publishing Dr. Mike Yeadon's opinion on the comments here (source : https://t.me/DrMikeYeadonsolochannelChat/53499). So that everyone can understand: a screenshot of a comment was added to the post quoted below. A quote from his statement: :

Jonathan Engler's piece has attracted many comments.

Some of them aren't sincere. What a surprise. I imagine the crooks aren't happy that we rumbled their

pathetic deceptions a considerable period of time ago (in my case numbered in getting on for four years). So they send if people to muddy the water.

After this much time, I enjoy playing with them. Childish I know.

Here's one. A real big person.

Best wishes

Mike

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Jayne Doe Apr 9

I can still hear the John Hopkins dashboard music in my head, ugh. Used to mute it when viewing their ticking time bomb covid spread reports. Eventually they faded into oblivion as so many have. Boy what a rabbit warren this has been, you know, Watership Down Style.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 9 Author

We (PANDA) have an absolute belter of an article about the JHU dashboard coming out soon.

Spoiler alert: the JHU dashboard was to covid data as the climate models are to temperature predictions.

LIKE (9) REPLY (2) SHARE

Sage Hana Sage's Newsletter Apr 9

They did tell us. Or they did tell a collection of physicians in 1969 what to expect and why.

https://sagehana.substack.com/p/if-population-growth-didnt-slow-down

FALSIFIED SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

Somewhere in this connection, then, was the statement admitting that some scientific research data could be - and indeed has been - falsified in order to bring about desired results. And here was said, "People don't ask the right questions. Some people are too trusting."

Now this was an interesting statement because the speaker and the audience all being doctors of medicine and supposedly very objectively, dispassionately scientific and science being the be all and end-all ... well to falsify scientific research data in that setting is like blasphemy in the church ... you just don't do that.

Anyhow, out of all of this was to come the New International Governing Body, probably to come through the U.N . and with a World Court, but not necessarily through those structures. It could be brought about in other ways. Acceptance of the U.N . at that time was seen as not being as wide as was hoped. Efforts would continue to give the United Nations increasing importance. People would be more and more used to the idea of relinquishing some national sovereignty.

Economic interdependence would foster this goal from a peaceful standpoint. Avoidance of war would foster it from the standpoint of worrying about hostilities. It was recognized that doing it peaceably was better than doing it by war.

LIKE (7) REPLY SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 10

Stop spreading misinformation you are going to severely harm those at a less educated lesson form and unable to think critically. This whole article is a farce. See my comments below.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Armando May 29

Huh, the excess mortality in Bergamo in the first wave was way too big (like, half a year's worth of the usual death rate in a month) and way too early (~half of it *before* lockdowns started) to be plausibly explained by 3.a) to 3.d)

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler May 29 Author

I'm not sure I understand. The entire thesis is that it was too big and too steep to be a novel spreading pathogen (which did nothing in neighboring regions !) so had to be something else.

That's all that is being said there.

The implication of your comment is that you think the steepness of the curve and 800-1000% excess death rates seen SUPPORTS the novel spreading virus theory?

LIKE REPLY SHARE

David Swimmer May 11

How best to drive change in the system..... Prepare, Beta test, test, deploy. was this the testing phase?

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Roc Findlay May 4

Must be booster time for some of these nutters who put their faith in the government. You have taken ALL of your boosters, haven't you? Yeah right, you dills.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Shirin Apr 26

This was planned for years. It was not a lab leak !!! , but done on purpose. That's why I'm saying mark of the beast . Who of us knows what we will become like in a few years.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Shirin Apr 26

There is something living in these Vaccs that changes our DNA. why were we deceived ? What is in my body now ?? I feel horrible that something is changing my DNA to become What ????

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Shirin Apr 26

Is this the mark of the beast ?

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Shirin Apr 26

Is this the Mark of the beast written about in the bible ? Revelation.

Did you know that millions of Jews got 6-7-8 if not more boosters of this vacc in Israel ? .

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Michelle Apr 25

You can lead a horse to water but you can't make them drink it. Way too many people with their heads in the sand, brainwashed and indoctrinated to a a T!

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Sabrina LaBow Sabrina's Newsletter--Stream Un... Apr 22

I believe it was a pandemic. (It's an interesting theory though.) I saw it for myself firsthand however the vaccine, lockdowns and masks were ridiculous and ineffective. I believe it was from a lab, whether intentionally or not I do not know and neither do most people. You are entitled to your opinion however sometimes when you are that extreme it shuts ppl off. That said all opinions can be entertained and I'm always open and interested to learn. I wish more ppl felt that way. I've written about the next pandemic and turbo cancer. Open dialogue is very important so thanks for posting! Sabrinalabow.substack.com

LIKE REPLY (2) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 22 Author

Out of interest, when you say it was a pandemic, by what definition are you making that assessment?

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Sabrina LaBow Sabrina's Newsletter--Stream Un... Apr 22

Looking at the definition it's a "widespread occurrence of an infectious disease over a whole country or the world at a particular time." I saw what happened in NYC and it was bc it's so densely populated but I never saw anything like that with the flu or any other illness.

LIKE REPLY (2) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 22 Author

Ok. So you're submitting to the relatively new WHO requirement that mortality need not be a feature.

I don't that's wise when you look at what plans they have in store for their "pandemic preparedness agenda".

(Not that I think there was a novel illness anyway btw)

LIKE REPLY (2) SHARE

Sabrina LaBow Sabrina's Newsletter--Stream Un... Apr 22 Liked by Jonathan Engler

I honestly didn't know about the WHO requirement. I am talking about just seeing a lot of ppl ill at once. Perhaps the term "pandemic" is incorrect--I don't know. It was just perhaps a new strain of the flu. Call it what you want. Those are semantics. I am on the same page as you in thinking there were and are a lot of shenanigans going on with all of it. And yes, I am certain there will be another with a "preparedness agenda." Perhaps they did release it intentionally. Whatever the case, a lot of ppl got really sick. We can call it whatever but anyone with a brain in their head knows that there is massive corruption going on.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Sabrina LaBow Sabrina's Newsletter--Stream Un... Apr 22

One more thing. I can see why the terminology would be important for protocol. However there is not much we can do to change that as of now.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Dr. Paul Alexander Alexander MAGA COVID News; a PC... May 11

could a poison not be released in multiple locations causing the same respiratory symptoms? what is stopping that?

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Linda Meads May 11

Coxiella burnetii may have been GOF, aerosolised and selectively deployed. Makes sense on all levels. See 'Epidemic of Fraud'. Coxiella Burnetii at 1:25:00

https://youtu.be/1tYQq_d-8Y0?si=XvYSKIWV0XGmSy0P

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Dr. Paul Alexander Alexander MAGA COVID News; a PC... May 11

I like what you say Sabrina but Engler is explaining his reasons, so you must do so too, you cannot just accept what was told to you...

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Claudia Apr 22

And what did the forehead temperature gauge do to our children's pineal gland daily?

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Maryann Apr 18

My tiny brain can't take this in. Though I would like to. May I just ask on this site as I did on another site: SOMETHING went around, didn't it? I got wickedly ill and so did many people I know. No vaccines, no hospitals. Just us at home sick. I used to listen faithfully to Dr. McCullough's podcast every week during those years!, he was TREATING people. People WERE sick. (Weren't they?) What was making them sick? He was seeing symptoms, and treating them. Was it just a nasty flu season? I would accept that. But just one last question then - what is the flu?, is the flu not a virus?, or something else? Thank you.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 18 Author

Something is always going around - many, many pathogens including seasonal coronaviruses, are associated with upper respiratory illnesses which can turn nasty in a small proportion of people (especially the elderly).

The questions to ask are: was there a new, risk-additive virus going around - ie one which added to the already existing soup of the many pathogens which are regularly associated with respiratory illnesses?

Or: if we'd had no testing available, what would we be writing about spring 2020 now? My contention is: nothing

The starting point in answering that is to recognise that whatever "it" was, the same signal to detect its presence in 2020 has been found by retrospective analysis of a variety of biological samples dating from months before the start of "the emergency" in several continents, leading to the inevitable conclusion that "it" was already widespread before we started obsessively testing for it.

Then, the question to ask is: why are there literally zero reports written at the time of any clusters of unusual illnesses or excess deaths in 2019? The fact tht those only started to be "discovered" in 2020 raises the hypothesis that it was the process of looking for it, finding it, and reacting to it which was the significant historical event.

In other words, the only novel thing spreading was the testing.

It's worth emphasising the historical event described below - the 2006 false PCR-driver pseudo epidemic of whooping cough.

Bear in mind that it WOULD have been easy to verify the PCR testing for pertussis bacterial presence - much, much easier than for SARS-CoV-2 - but a combination of factors (no doubt including hubris) somehow prevented them from doing that one, vital step.

https://www.bleadon.org.uk/media/other/24400/FaithinQuickTestLeadstoEpidemicThatWasnt-TheNewYorkTimes.pdf

https://blog.plan99.net/pseudo-epidemics-7603b2da839

LIKE (5) REPLY (2) SHARE

Maryann Apr 18

Thank you. 🙏🏻 I am processing all this and all the comments, I will check out the links.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Removed Apr 18 · *edited Apr 18*

Comment removed

LIKE REPLY (5) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 18 Author

"If COVID isn't real why did the vaccine work so well?"

LOL

LIKE (10) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 19

Comment removed

LIKE (2) REPLY (2) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 21 Author

Ah - the one in which they sweep all the early infections and serious AEs under the carpet by classing them as unvaccinated until 7 days after dose 2?

"Adults were considered fully vaccinated 7 days after the completion of vaccination (administration of the first dose of the Janssen vaccine or the second dose of other vaccines)"

LIKE (7) REPLY SHARE

Biggles Apr 29

Bollocks.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Evil Harry Apr 28

"We wouldn't see the unvaccinated dying at higher rates. If COVID isn't real why did the vaccine work so well?"

You really don't have a grasp on things.

I have to think that you must be trolling, as no sane person can actually believe that nonsense.

Can they?

LIKE (6) REPLY SHARE

Dennis Battler Dennis's Substack Apr 28

Please. The death jab did not work well ever. Has worked well only to kill and maim. Undisputable.

LIKE (6) REPLY SHARE

Jason Miles Create - Action - Inspire Apr 28

Some great conversation pieces here, and good to see that people can have alternative views.

So much happened in 2020, not least here in Australia (Queensland). They actually wrote and passed a law that fined or jailed a doctor for prescribing a global and reliable anti-parasitic. One that doctors have been using for well over 50 years and have prescribed almost 5 billion times with no concerns (using the measures big pharma use). A drug that has been around for the ages and that people all over the world widely use.

The French Ministry of Health did something similar on January 13th 2020. I was researching off patent, off label drugs for my wife who had breast cancer and I did a double-take when I came across that article, as it made no sense. Then they told us that SARS-COV2 was 79-81% similar to SARS-COV1, so why not offer anti-parasitic drugs? Why not let people decide for themselves? If the vaccine stopped transmission as Wolinsky, Fauci, Biden and others told the world, then those that took it were fine. So, why the "Winter of Darkness" threats that did not materialise, in fact, most of my vaccinated friends are continually falling ill since they had the vaccine. Perhaps it was efficacious for 3-5 months, but the waning fell off a cliff. They would need a shot every 3 months just to stay ahead of the curve. They have said no to any more shots.

Here in Australia, Neil Ferguson from the Imperial College of London projected 155,000 Australians would die from COVID. I think it was a few million in the US and maybe 550,000 in the UK. We never got close to that, and in fact, the only way they could get the numbers up was to classify what felt like all deaths as COVID. My wife's uncle was a professor at the Uni of Edinburgh and also the Director of Amsterdam Business School. He had

Expand full comment

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Katie Michaelson Apr 29

All reasonable points. In the States, many many agree. I'm wondering if you've noticed an increase in stuff like young people suddenly having kidney failure, cancers, birth defects, reoccurring illnesses, odd lung issues, etc. only in vaccinated people?

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jason Miles Create - Action - Inspire Apr 29

There are a few avenues that report this information. There are some doctors and specialists speaking out now that cases are before the courts. Only a small percentage of the injuries recorded are included in the over 140,000 reports received by our TGA (your CDC). The sad part is that the average age is about 50 with kids as young as 3. The average age of life in Australia is (male/female) is 82.5 years. The average age of a COVID death is about 84. So, we have people injured or have died that likely didn't need to. Doctors and specialists have been reporting rising cases of cancer in young people as a major concern and it has them baffled. It may not be the vaccine, but it started happening in 2021. It's not COVID because they are not reported as COVID deaths. So, what else could it be? If nothing else, it needs to be investigated, which is what is happening now our Senate has the numbers to get an investigation underway.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Katie Michaelson May 8

Thank you, Jason. I'm 75 and seeing things I never saw before. I've always had a large circle of family and friends. Again, thank you.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Marianne Agnello Apr 28

I have 3 family members all vaxed and dead including our son and a friend in USA

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Katie Michaelson Apr 29

I'm so very sorry. I can't imagine your pain. My heart to you.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Edward Flynn Edward's Newsletter Apr 18

Yup.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

alucientes albert's Substack Apr 18

I was expecting actual evidence. Dont we have to look at how it was remarkable rather than how it wasn't/ I mean, 80% of people who encounter SC-2 get no symptoms at all. We never had a novel cov present the range of symptoms we saw from this one. Not that the rest of it wasnt a major scam bc obviously it was,, but the bug is real, Im pretty sure. My cousin [who at the time worked in healthcare] spent three months in the hospital and almost died.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Mike Amp Apr 16

Control and destroy

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Suavek Fraud Prevention Hotline Apr 12

The claim about iatrogenesis made by Dr. Mike Yeadon in the comments section on April 10 (in relation to the alleged "Covid" deaths) was proven in the study by Dr. Wilson Sy :

https://suavek1.substack.com/p/a-new-study-excess-deaths-in-the

https://suavek1.substack.com/p/reactions-to-the-study-by-dr-sy-and

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Suavek Fraud Prevention Hotline Apr 12 · *edited Apr 12*

The bigger the fraud, the less the small minds can understand it.

....

The truth can never be too big to understand. Only some brains are too small to understand the extent of the fraud. This particularly affects those who were never able to think for themselves and instead only depended on the opinions of others. The constant repetition of propaganda slogans cannot be easily erased from such a brain.

To all readers !

Please beware of paid propagandists on the Internet who seek to defame any credible work. Jonathan Engler can only take the number of these propagandists in this comment section as a compliment. Apparently he hit the right spot.

Dear friends, the perpetrators don't sleep. They pay thousands of agents to confuse you. The polemics that these people (and automatic AI chatbots) use cannot change the clear evidence. Don't believe anyone, but please check for yourself the results of the work of recognized university professors, scientists and Nobel Prize winners. And please ask yourself the simple question: Why do the inventors of the plandemic need censorship if the alleged "pandemic situation" is already over? Do you actually believe that people on China's streets suddenly dropped dead due to "Corona"? Is this even possible with a respiratory illness? And what about 6 different, common diseases that are supposed to have suddenly disappeared in 2020? The perpetrators are embarrassed and their lies are obvious. Anyone looking for further evidence despite this clarity will find it here : https://suavek1.substack.com/

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Cyn GoodStuff2Know! Apr 11

We knew that!

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Tam Hunt Common Sense Apr 11

Jonathan, are you planning or have you already written a book on the PANDA positions?

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Carol L. Clark From the Left Apr 11

All you have is elementary school name calling. Healthcare entrepreneur? Oh, good. Another grifter. Medically and legally qualified? You show nothing to back that up, just as you show no supporting facts to your screed. Nothing. No data, no reference to any authority, only bare statements dragged from your nether regions.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 11 · *edited Apr 11*

Comment removed

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Suavek Fraud Prevention Hotline Apr 11 · edited Apr 11

Here is the evidence: :

1). Prof. Norman Fenton`s evidence of the "pandemic" scam and his selected works on exposing fraudulent scientific studies.

Where are the numbers ? :

https://suavek1.substack.com/p/prof-norman-fenton-the-evidence-of

2). Dr. Wilson Sy :

https://suavek1.substack.com/p/a-new-study-excess-deaths-in-the

https://suavek1.substack.com/p/reactions-to-the-study-by-dr-sy-and

3). Dr.Mike Yeadon, Prof. Denis Rancourt

https://suavek1.substack.com/p/dr-mike-yeadon-everything-was-a-lie

4). THE MISSING FLU :

https://suavek1.substack.com/p/the-missing-flu

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

ADMIN FOR WMFINCH ADMIN's Substack Apr 10

I know this almost sounds off topic but bear with me: California notoriously had low unemployment payments at every level. 25 years ago it was ranked number 48 and that's an actual dollars not real spending real spending it was dead last. In the so-called 11th hour also known as December 2019 the top rate and all the other rates for California unemployment magically almost quadrupled. The top rate was $450 a week it magically went up to almost 1,400 a week. I believe it went from $250 roughly for the top rate to $450 sometime before 2010 there was never any discussion to raise it whatsoever. Coincidence, I think not. I'm no doctor, but I could just tell by the manufactured hysteria that the thing was no way real.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Thumbnail Green Oxymoron's Substack Apr 10

Thanks for this. Saving. Sharing.

I've been conned by the engineering side of GOF but NEVER thought it was anything other than money and power.

My song on it written in 2021

https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=mmAhPm8deMs&pp=ygUfTW9jayB0aGUgc2V0IHVwIHRoaW1ibmFpbCBncmVlbg%3D%3D

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Richard Rosenthal Critical Dissidence Apr 10

Evidence of a premeditated faux pandemic as well as a deadly population reducing faux vax to reap increased profits, power, control and obedience (as gateway for the Great Reset/Taking, CBDCs, and China style social credit controls) is very strong and convincing from the get-go, if you have it all in front of you.

But as I have posted before, the question of whether or not there actually was a Covid virus--remains somewhat unsettled IMO, along with the question of what (if not a virus) made healthy people unusually ill with exceptional symptoms.

The "no virus" side is firm:

Michael Yeadon: "There are no respiratory viruses, we don't know what causes the symptom's but can't be a virus. numerous, serious clinical research studies were set up to detect and measure symptomatic transmission (causing a well person to fall ill with similar symptoms to those of the donor person). Try as they might, that didn't happen. Contagion in this specific scenario (acute respiratory diseases) does not happen."

Sasha Latypova, who has earned our greatest respect and admiration agrees, maintaining that what was/is created in the lab by gain-of-function are chemical poisons. When I asked her whether the voluminous, costly and clandestine research performed by the likes of UNC & Ralph Baric in pursuit of (if not in attaining) a formidable infectious bio-weapon has been all for show? She responded:

"pretty much. They mutated a lot of bullshit and distributed some toxic chemicals. When I askeThey definitely waste billions to pay themselves lavishly and assemble huge academic departments dedicated to nothing but making poison. They do not make viruses, anything live, or any GOF. Yes most of them believe their own BS. Yes, they are comically over-confident. They have never validated a single thing they claim. All this charade is doing - 1)chemical process to glue huge-size molecules together that contain nucleic acids +2)various methods of manufacture, storage, delivery and formulation of this crap. That's it. If you look into "biologics" - they never use microscope (that's strictly forbidden in molecular biology), most of the time rely on 3rd party unvalidated "kits", completely automated (likewise unvalidated) processes, like PCR. And the claims of "proteins", "viruses" and the like are based largely on the weight of the molecules they produce, and occasionally - on length of the nucleic acid chains. So they claim that a hunk of stone that weighs approximately what Michelangelo's David weighs, is marble and is the same size = the same thing as the sculpture of Michelangelo, David. / Bioweapons research makes chemicals and things like plasmids to transfect people with these chemicals. There is nothing more mysterious to it. Nothing "alive" is made. Weaponized Anthrax? It's a chemical. They mutated a lot of bullshit and distributed some toxic chemicals. "

She has also written:

"Ivermectin treats "covid" poisoning, it is proven in the outcomes - myself and several of my family members saw very clear and fast benefit. It's undeniable, and the only comparator to this is fast acting pain meds, the benefit is that clear. Many randomized and controlled trials also show it very clearly. I don't take it prophylactically, but some people probably should. There should be more studies on this, to determine exactly who and at what frequency, but with the current clinical data that exists - if a person feels better and functions better with it, there is no reason not to. Nothing "prepares" you for poisoning other than your own physical health at the time, which I discussed."

"They do chemical poisoning very frequently, have done it for the past 100 years or so, and will certainly do it again. I suggest you peruse this helpful list of the known incidents when US government deployed chemical and biological weapons on own population. All sourced to mainstream media https://www.wanttoknow.info/humanguineapigs It is frankly an insanity to assume they did not utilize their trusted and true method to seed "sentinel cases" of covid."

"I had covid, and there is no EMF where I live, there is no 5G (it's a high altitude forest, mostly state park). And the symptoms of covid reported by most people, as well as those I and my husband experienced are more consistent with poisoning vs radiation. In fact they do not fit radiation much."

JJCouey hypothesizes "Infectious clones were dropped "

Kevin McKernan diasagrees: "Many of the "No Pandemic crowd" are critical of any protest against GOF as they believe it plays into the pandemic prep narrative. Its as if in their mind, transmissible diseases can never be engineered. I dont think they understand how easy this stuff is to tinker with. Most of the people with these beliefs don't actually have any lab experience to know how quickly a modified C19 could be reconstructed today (dozens of strains in weeks). someone spent the effort to hyper-optimize C19 ACE2 binding affinity such that it would spread far and wide."

Geert VandenBossche premises his entire doomsday argument on there being a C-19 virus that rapidly and dangerously mutates, especially when confronted by mass vaccination with an non-sterilizing "vaccine."

But alas, so far, no one has offered a clear and convincing explanation of how whatever it is that seemed to make people sick— got distributed— or whether indeed, it was contagious.

The answer lies low with the DARPA DOD HHS UNC WEF WHO GAVI BIS (CCP?) sociopaths who made it all happen-- and so far, they ain't talking.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 14

Your first 2 paragraphs counter each other. First you say there's evidence of a premeditated virus and vaccine. And then you turn around and say was there even a virus. Make up your mind.

There are no reports from any credible sources that contradict when everybody has accepted us fact. Origination and everything.

My question is why is all of this still being debated? They have been so many important things that are going on and you're hanging onto a pandemic from years ago.? if you're looking to do a big exposé at this point nobody really cares we all moved on

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 10

https://www.theatlantic.com/health/archive/2014/05/when-viruses-escape-the-lab/371202/

This article talks about all the man-made diseases that are being created. Labs

LIKE REPLY SHARE

operation save humanity operation save humanity Substack Apr 10

Hey there I would like to invite you over to operation save humanity sub stack take a couple hours and go through all the patents

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Gunther Heinz Gunther's Substack Apr 10

EVERY POINT SEEMS REASONABLE, but try this. Imagine you actually have a job, in a real organization. Imagine how really tolerant that organization might actually be. Imagine how many people could easily take your place.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Heather Bedford Apr 10

Thank you for this!

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Helge Apr 9

there is enough evidence. Why is no one calling,,,,,,least of all those radical 'talking heads' that saw through this bullshit....for TRYbUnALS?? mMMmmmmm?

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 10

Because it's garbage. Don't believe this insanity.

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Helge Apr 11

...um what is 'garbage'? If it is the pLandemic you are referring to then all the more reason for accountability for this utter fraud. If you are claiming that this exposure of the pLandemic is fraud then I think you need to do some sound research......I saw through the bullshit from day ONE and was forced to resign for refusing to wear a mask. People make such a fuss taking a stance against the clotshot, yet it all began by getting the sheep to virtue signal with those useless masks. All they had to do was wear a mask and were assured that t hey were saving the world....HOW PATHETIC.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 14

Your little word plandemic is such a qanon conspiracy theory it has exposed you. Anyone in here trying to discount the millions of people around the world dying have no heart. Wearing masks was never intended to be a Superman cape. It was something to slow the virus down. Shame on you for not protecting your family and friends because you couldn't be inconvenienced.

Garbage was the nonsensical post that couldn't be less accurate and minimized a tragic world event.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

The Science Analyst The Science Analyst Apr 9

The plans failed completely, so they made the fake pandemic based on fake numbers.

But that was not the whole picture. I think that there was a planned lab-release. Different releases from different labs. From the US, Ukraine, China and some more places. The virus was not as harmful as planned. And it was easily killed by the sun, the immune system or some cheap medicine.

This means that people should go to prison (1) for gain-of-function AND (2) for spreading fake fear AND (3) for restricting medicine AND (4) for pushing lockdowns/masks AND (5) for totalitarianism AND (6) for censorship AND (7) for injecting poison AND (8) for injecting poison into healthy children.

By assuming there was no leak, we can not punish 40% for 1 & 3 & 6. And punish the hidden people that are behind the plans.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Roger Sterling Apr 9

Correct me if I'm wrong but the so-called pandemic "tracked" what one would expect from a very bad flu season. Interestingly, the cases of flu during this period were virtually nil. How does one explain this? The real question is - given all of the new/revised/exposed information, what are people going to do with it? Will anyone be held to account and will steps be taken to prevent similar evil acts? Until these questions are answered - all of these revelations are nice but nothing more than hot air. Pax

LIKE REPLY (2) SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 10

While the flu can be fatal, it doesn't kill millions at the rate and velocity that Covid did wake up

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Roger Sterling Apr 10

Obviously you've never heard of the Spanish Flu....that and what's your point?

LIKE REPLY (2) SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 14

Roflmao!!! The Spanish flu? I have actually. For you to bring that into this stream shows you don't have a clue about it. The way people died from the Spanish flu is very different than how people died from Covid.

Victims of the Spanish influenza mostly died from secondary bacterial pneumonia, while victims of COVID-19 mostly died from an overactive immune response resulting in organ failure.

So now you know. You're Welcome

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Roger Sterling Apr 15

Thank you Captain Obvious.... methinks you missed the point.....but so long as a Troll like you is happy.... all is well. Pax

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Richard Rosenthal Critical Dissidence Apr 10

He's a troll, bro.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Bill Rice, Jr. Bill Rice Jr.'s Newsletter Apr 9

Actually, cases of confirmed flu - and more interesting or conspicuous - "Influenza Like Illness" were far above the recent norms in the fall and winter of 2019-2020 (pre official Covid). I don't know how anyone could read this story and deny this:

https://billricejr.substack.com/p/flu-season-of-2019-2020-was-one-of?utm_source=profile&utm_medium=reader2

Also school closings in the weeks and months before Covid was supposed to be spreading in America were probably as high as they've ever been.

https://billricejr.substack.com/p/school-closings-spiked-before-official?utm_source=profile&utm_medium=reader2

While I agree with most of the points the author makes, it's certainly possible that a novel virus was spreading widely across the country (and world) in the weeks and months before official Covid. The key point is that this "bug" (if it was Covid in some percentage of these cases) was NOT "deadly." There was no spike in deaths despite the obvious increase in people who were experiencing ILI symptoms.

I think mad scientists could have made a virus in a lab that was contagious and made many people sick. It just didn't kill hardly anyone. But, then again, the flu and ILI and all the other coronaviruses rarely kill anyone. (So this might even be expected if they did produce a contagious respiratory virus in a lab).

I agree the PCR testing largely produce and explain the pandemic and we should all be very skeptical about that assay. However, little has been said about the validity or credibility of antibody tests, which also show copious evidence of "early spread." My question is have the antibody tests been worthless

Expand full comment

LIKE REPLY (6) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 9 Author

I think I had made a point under one of your posts that the antibody tests are treated with far too much reverence. For one thing, it is assumed that they either light up positive or negative, simply because of the words used, Sero positive and sero negative.

In that comment, I invited you to consider the fact that the tests are actually calibrated, using positive or negative controls, the positive controls being people who had had Covid, as determined by a PCR test!

The negatives, on the other hand, are people who had not had Covid, but who they may anyway have been exposed to viruses which cross react with the purportedly novel one.

For many, the antibody tests are the last remaining "proof" that there was something novel, but that presumes a specificity for something novel for which there is no evidence.

LIKE (7) REPLY (1) SHARE

Bill Rice, Jr. Bill Rice Jr.'s Newsletter Apr 9 Liked by Jonathan Engler

Yes, I've thought a good bit about the validity of the tests (sensitivity and specificity percentages) are based on ... PCR tests. So "garbage in, garbage out" is a real possibility.

I think you should perhaps further highlight the take-away that the antibody tests are dubious, if that's what you believe.

A key point regarding your premise (there was no pandemic) is that the pandemic was almost entirely produced by PCR test results. I definitely agree with that. But the world needs to know if the antibody tests are also unreliable and producing bogus results (for Covid and for every illness where an antibody test is utilized).

I tend to think the antibody tests are more reliable than the PCR tests. With my "early spread" hypothesis, my detractors seem to be saying the early positive antibody results were ALL bogus and should not be believed. But we can definitely trust the later antibody results.

To which I respond, "Huh?"

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Markker Apr 9

5g was turned on in various cities including NY. As you say, flu tests proved negative and flu disappeared in March probably due to $ incentives for "covid" cases. Distress from EMFs cause ILI symptoms too. As with AIDS, John Rappoport has written about this, there are several reasons why people get immuno compromised but it suited those to blame it on one thing, the unicorn they called HIV, just like the SARSCov2.

LIKE (2) REPLY SHARE

Bill Rice, Jr. Bill Rice Jr.'s Newsletter Apr 10

One of my subscribers just made a post at my newsletter (under a story where I argue the "flu shot is a scam.") She says her husband got sick in December 2019 - not long after getting that year's (new and different) flu "vaccine." She also notes her "whole town was sick, sick, sick." Here's the post (one of millions of similar anecdotes imo).

"My husband got the flu shot in November of (2019), by the end of December our small rural town was sick. Everyone was sick with flu like symptoms including myself. By January 2nd I was coming out of it, and my husband was getting sicker. Medical center was packed. I let him take my appointment at the health department. They gave him a z pack, and a steroid shot. He got better. We asked the Heath Dept why he got sick since they had given him a flu shot. They shrugged and said he probably got type A flu, and that they didn't vaccinate against that.

What has bothered me the most is that this was before Covid. The whole town was sick sick sick, and we also had a friend 40 years old die from it. Everyone at his funeral was sick. All of us took it in stride.

Why did the government funded health department not test to find out the root cause of the obvious pandemic in our town? Not just guess that we all caught type A flu?

It is now my opinion that we all had the Covid.

Our town never really had a bad Covid outbreak. But we were damn sure all sick in late December and early January.

If our health system was any account, we would know what made us all sick, but to this day, no one seems to care."

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Bill Rice, Jr. Bill Rice Jr.'s Newsletter Apr 9

This article - the longest I've ever published - presents the "anecdotal evidence" of the (probably) millions of people who suspect they had "early Covid." Of course, all of these people who think they might have had Covid couldn't have all had Covid ... but if 10 or 20 or 30 percent of these people are right, that should carry some weight. That is, if you have enough anecdotes, maybe we should pay attention to them.

What stands out to me in reading these testimonials is the large numbers of people who report their sickness was somehow different from past cases of the flu or "virus bugs" they'd experienced in the past. This gibes with my own sickness in January 2020. There were symptoms that were atypical compared to previous illnesses I'd endured. I admit this is hard to prove, but it's worth noting when so many people say similar things.

https://billricejr.substack.com/p/no-ones-published-an-article-like?utm_source=profile&utm_medium=reader2

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 9 Author

I'm a lawyer and one thing we learned early on is that judges pay hugely more attention to evidence that is contemporaneous to the event rather than triggered by inquisition later.

So I'm afraid rather think such post hoc rationalizations might be just that.

What would raise my eyebrows would be some evidence written at the actual time, of clusters of illnesses which differed from the vicissitudes of respiratory viral diseases as experienced through the ages.

But there are none to be found.

In any event, "did you have that nasty weird bug going around?" wasn't after all a phrase which had never been uttered before.

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Bill Rice, Jr. Bill Rice Jr.'s Newsletter Apr 9

All of my "flu stories" - from scores of media outlets - and all the "Weekly ILI Surveillance Reports" from the CDC and state public health agencies around the country are contemporaneous reports. They were all published during the flu season (between November 2019 and early February 2020).

I wrote that story because I kept reading people who said there was no evidence of any increase or spike in ILI activity before February 2020. Yes, there was. Such reports were everywhere.

And then the CDC quickly revised their ILI estimates, which were record numbers (median of their estimated range was 47.5 million ILI cases) through March 2020. The CDC then down-sized/revised these estimates to "normal" numbers in the update the agency provided on April 2, 2020.

Many more people were "sick" in the weeks and months before official Covid than in the previous 10 flu seasons. Maybe this is just a coincidence, but it's an odd one.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon Apr 9

I find it interesting that, uniquely in the history of influenza like illnesses, there was no increase in all causes mortality in the period leading up to WHOs fraudulent claim of a pandemic. See Denis Rancourt et al numerous articles.

LIKE (7) REPLY (2) SHARE

Sage Hana Sage's Newsletter Apr 9

Looks like the flu....POOF! disappeared in 2020.

https://conspiracysarah.substack.com/p/the-ghost-flu-of-2020

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Bill Rice, Jr. Bill Rice Jr.'s Newsletter May 29

I'm not sure about that Dr. Yeadon. I've looked closely at the 'excess death' charts from many years, including the "flu months" of November - March. These are typically expressed as expected deaths - not raw deaths. So there is usually not a big or noticeable spike in, say, November - March of any flu season. It's just expected there will be more deaths in the winter months. This was the case in 2019-2020 before "official Covid." This was the case the prior year (the flu season of 2018-2019). So you can't say that a normal or even slightly higher than normal "flu season" is going to cause any uptick in all cause mortality.

I think there was actually a tiny blip (bump) in deaths between December 2019 and February 2020, but it wasn't "statistically significant."

The best metric for my "early spread purposes" is "flu tests administered." Those were way up. A percentage that was/is "statistically significant."

LIKE REPLY SHARE

skintnick Apr 10

"I agree the PCR testing largely produce and explain the pandemic and we should all be very skeptical about that assay. However, little has been said about the validity or credibility of antibody tests, which also show copious evidence of "early spread." My question is have the antibody tests been worthless and wrong all along? If so, that should be another scandal." like you I have been pissing this question into the wind for some time

LIKE REPLY (2) SHARE

Bill Rice, Jr. Bill Rice Jr.'s Newsletter Apr 11

That makes me feel a little better. I'm not the only person who wonders why the antibody tests haven't all been discredited due to Covid. Or: are they perhaps, right ... at least in some cases?

I actually put more faith into the private lab AB tests, more so than Quest and Abbott, which are no doubt captured and probably would/might rig, say, the "cutoff values" to support the view that there was less evidence of early spread.

The small labs/clinics are usually labeled as the ones producing "junk" tests. I don't know about that.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

skintnick Apr 10

(see JE comment below)

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Roger Sterling Apr 9

Not for nothing...instead of focusing upon the bean counting of what/how this disease tracked past experience...how's about addressing all of the evil that was inflicted upon society? Seems to me this is the important issue...everything else is a bit of a nerd-fest. Pax

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 10 Author

They rather easily hoodwinked billions into receiving the dangerous injections. I think the best way of stopping them doing it again is to inform people as to the tools they used (propaganda) so they can be alert to it in future.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Holly McC Apr 9

Agree 💯

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Nelson: MrCigarTruth Apr 9

Bravo

LIKE REPLY SHARE

KP Stoller KP's Substack Apr 9

The answer as to why is answered in The Great Democide..... https://therebelpatient.substack.com/p/the-great-democide-how-and-why-it

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Thomas Marsh Thomas's Substack Apr 9

And it all will be repeated again and again...just the name of the scam will change. You can bet it will occur before the 2024 Prez election....or there will be a JFK like event to take control of the USA again. Those who desire to keep control by the deep state will do what it takes to keep a grip on power in Washington DC...whether you call them RINOs and globalists.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

JudyC Apr 9

Yup, Monkey Pox didn't scare anyone, so on to the next "pandemic" and the next, and the next...now we're at Avian Flu/ H5N1! Better stock up on Visine!

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

deleted Apr 12

Comment deleted

Baldmichael Baldmichael's Letter from Engla... Apr 12 Liked by Jonathan Engler

There was a pandemic of bullshit propaganda. It is wonderful what governments and big pharma can do when a profit is desired.

Millions around the world died because they believed in a new scary virus and ran screaming in to the pit of destruction getting poisonous vaccines etc. What a load of idiots they were.

LIKE (4) REPLY (1) SHARE

Roc Findlay May 4

Correct BM, sales of clothes dryer balls went down the tube because they look too scary.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

Keith S Keith's Substack Apr 12

Yes and so is Dr Mike YEADON vice president of Pfizer, chief virologist and scientist and head of immunology at Pfizer with 32 years experience I suppose he is stupid he's crazy? I tell you what people like you are fucking sick indoctrinated bastards so mentally retarded by the press you belong in a fucking mental asylum yesterday already, hopefully you're a fully jabbed piece of shit that will DIE within 42 months of taking your beloved shot. A man of DR MICHAEL YEADON S CREDIBILITY AND TRACK RECORD CANNOT BE SWEPT UNDER THE CARPET, because halfwits like you believe everything mainstream mefia shits out.

LIKE (4) REPLY SHARE

Chauncey Zalkin Chauncey Zalkin, Actual People ... Apr 12

thank you oh my god. How is this guy allowed to spread such utter low information crap? I'm appalled. You are the only person I saw on this that is of any intelligence. This came up in my feed on substack. I am figuring out how to block it.

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 12 Author

What, you can't work out how to block people on substack?

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Chauncey Zalkin Chauncey Zalkin, Actual People ... Apr 13

no actually. I keep trying. Hell, I wish I wasn't even seeing this!

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Zen Belmond Apr 15

Thank you! These conspiracy nut jobs are out of their mind. It's like they can't accept the fact that millions of people are around the world were killed by this virus. To imply that there was a coordinated collaborative effort to spread a virus is absolutely insane.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Removed Apr 9

Comment removed

LIKE (4) REPLY (3) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 9 Author

Not according to worldometer numbers - see article below.

Do you have a different source?

https://www.arkmedic.info/p/where-did-the-pandemic-go

LIKE (7) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 9

Comment removed

LIKE (3) REPLY (2) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 9 Author

Those aren't primary sources either.

And I never made any reference to 2021.

LIKE (5) REPLY (2) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 9 Author

FOI after FOI of UK funeral homes and crematoria fail to show any increase.

LIKE (4) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 9

Comment removed

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 9

Comment removed

LIKE (3) REPLY (2) SHARE

Fager 132 Apr 10

Is that a peer-reviewed Twitter post? An increase in cremations during what people think is a pandemic proves only that they switched to cremation. It doesn't prove that more people died. And since "burials and cremations" are combined, that still doesn't prove that more people died. It could mean that 88,999 people were cremated instead of buried, and one person was buried = 89,000 "cremations and burials." And my guess is that the UK population itself increased between 2019 and 2020 thanks to the "migrant" welcome mat that's been rolled out, so raw numbers mean nothing. That's why ONS provides *rates* in the table that you so helpfully linked to.

That would be the table with which you self-owned. The one that shows a whopping .118% mortality increase from 2019 to 2020. Now you're trying to change the subject by introducing Twitter posts about cremations. You sound vaccinated.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 10

Comment removed

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Fager 132 Apr 10 Liked by Jonathan Engler

So is The Cremation Society's tweet a primary source that outranks a Substack? Is it peer-reviewed? Because that was your earlier standard for dismissing rebuttals from people here. Now you're firing off links to tweets as proof that your feelings are facts--because if they're not it means you just spent four years getting played. Anyone else getting a whiff of desperation from the alleged DeSantis fan?

By the ONS statistics you provided, in the middle of a raging pandemic the UK mortality rate in 2020 was .118% higher than it was in 2019 because of something that even the CDC couldn't flog into being more than .002% fatal. And every one of those additional 118 deaths per 100,000 is easily explained by something other than a virus. Like the reactions to the allegation of a virus.

While you're working on those problems with your "I narrowly escaped death in 2020 and all I got was this lousy t-shirt" thesis, you might also try explaining how the coronavirus you believe in, which caused symptoms strangely identical to those of the common cold and seasonal allergies, couldn't be handled by the human immune system, which does just fine with the four other coronaviruses that cause the same symptoms. Please show your work; that ONS link was very helpful with shooting down your entire agenda. For extra credit, explain the 99% reduction in flu cases that coincided with the "covid" rollout.

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 10

Comment removed

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Continue Thread →

Removed Apr 9

Comment removed

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

J K Apr 9

Do you seriously think you convince anybody, lol😉

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 9

Comment removed

LIKE (2) REPLY (2) SHARE

TNK Apr 10

Myriad unprecedented events occurred in 2020 that absolutely resulted in many people dying that otherwise would not have (at that time). None of those events were the direct result of a deadly virus.

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

J K Apr 10

You're not presenting any facts. You are delusional, that is all.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Continue Thread →

Removed Apr 9

Comment removed

LIKE (2) REPLY (2) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 9 Author

On an age adjusted basis UK mortality in 2020 was the 8th worst in the previous 20 years. Doesn't exactly scream deadly pandemic, does it?

And "peer reviewed"?? LMAO.

LIKE (3) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 9

Comment removed

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 9

Comment removed

LIKE (2) REPLY (2) SHARE

Renee Far Side of Fringe Apr 9

do they have fentanyl there? In the US the same, but at the same time fentanyl and opioid deaths. But nobody wants to talk about that.

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Fager 132 Apr 9

Yes, very interesting. The ONS reports that the crude mortality rate per 100,000 people was 1,031.6 in 2000. In 2020 it was 1,016.2. That's definitely the signature of a deadly pathogen with a CDC-reported survival rate of 99.998%. Going by their age-adjusted numbers, the UK had an increase of 118 additional deaths per 100,000 people from 2019 to 2020, which wouldn't have anything to do with lockdowns, suicides, people terrified into not seeking help, Midazolam-assisted deaths in nursing homes, ventilator-assisted deaths in hospitals, or NHS staff cancelling procedures to film TikTok dances. The ONS must still be massaging the numbers for 2021 onward, hoping for some late-night mail-in death reports that are a little more impressive than a .118% increase. Get lost, troll.

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 10 Author

Indeed. It's quite something to simultaneously argue for an unprecedented lethal deadly pandemic and at the same time link to a table which shows that:

1. ASMR was higher in every year between 2008 and 1990 than it was in 2020.

2. That even by a crude comparison between 2020 (in which lots of people died who shouldn't have died due to

maltreatment) and the historically benign year for mortality of 2019, the increase in the proportion of the population who died was 1/8th of one percent.

(1.016% of the population died in 2020 vs 0.893% in 2019)

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Jonathan Engler Apr 10 Author

Here's crude mortality going back to 1979 (AMR would be even more benign).

Yeah, 2020 looks like carnage, doesn't it.

https://www.mortality.watch/explorer/?c=GBR&t=cmr&cs=bar&df=1979&bt=2015&m=1&v=2

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon Apr 9

No, you've rebutted nothing written here. You're merely combining two things which the narrative connects, but evidence does not.

Yes, there have been large numbers of deaths.

No, that doesn't mean there's been a pandemic.

No amount of dubious sources for deaths, even claims for covid deaths, renders them real. Those people have been deliberately killed, by means other that the claimed "pandemic" "virus", both words describing fake propositions.

LIKE (14) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 9

Comment removed

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

DrMikeYeadon Apr 10

Lol

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 10

Comment removed

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

DrMikeYeadon Apr 11

Where it comes to design of the fraudulent injections masquerading as vaccines, it's not worthy of debate. It's self evident that their toxicity is intentional. There are multiple, independent mechanisms of toxicity. I wrote about this December 1st 2020 in a open letter to the European Medicines Agency.

Unfortunately but inevitably, these predictions started to come true from the very first day of mass rollout.

You'll appreciate that you paddling around in the shallows of fraudulent "diagnostic tests" isn't going to matter at all.

Completely irrelevant nonsense.

If you believe it's impossible for PCR to be flawed in any way, you've obviously never used the technique, or you believe the lies we've been told about "pandemics" or are a bad actor who isn't sincere.

LIKE (2) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 11

Comment removed

LIKE REPLY (3) SHARE

Continue Thread →

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon Apr 9

You haven't established that covid exists. You clearly didn't read or chose to disregard but not to rebut the piece.

Note that I contend we are under long planned, lethal attack.

In that context, myriad official claims are consistent with my contention, not proof that there's been a pandemic (which there hasn't been).

Increased deaths are consistent with several explanations. If you won't consider the alternatives to the official narrative, it'll end up being fatal and not only for other people.

LIKE (11) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 9

Comment removed

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 9 · *edited Apr 9*

Best not to even label it: there was a distinct clinical syndrome that led to critical illness +- death in those severely affected by it.

See comments above re vulnerability in those who had "flu vaccines" in 2019-20.

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 10

Comment removed

LIKE REPLY (1) SHARE

DemocracyManifest DemocracyManifest Substack Apr 10

How do we know flu vaccines didn't make recipients more vulnerable to a coronavirus?

See BMJ rapid response from March 2020 - link via Elizabeth Hart: Flu shots and the risk of coronavirus infections: https://www.bmj.com/content/368/bmj.m810/rr-0

Also - at the start this was pushed as severe ARDS (like SARS-1) but turned out to be very different.

LIKE REPLY SHARE

Dr Mike Yeadon Dr Mike Yeadon Apr 9

Indeed. There is a sufficient explanation for this that is entirely separate from claims of mysterious submicroscopic particles causing acute respiratory illnesses.

Specifically, iatrogenesis in hospitals, care homes and community.

Imposed changes in medical procedures led predictably to large numbers of avoidable deaths.

LIKE (13) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 9

Comment removed

LIKE (3) REPLY (2) SHARE

Allen Apr 10

No one has treated a "Covid patient" as there is no such thing. However many who were victims of this fraud were killed by those "heroes" who treated "Covid patients."

LIKE (4) REPLY (1) SHARE

Removed Apr 10

Comment removed

LIKE (1) REPLY (1) SHARE

Allen Apr 10

Good sentiment- especially the ones handed out by the Pharma cartel and their pimps- the doctors.

Also want to stay off the social media drugs and television drugs that are pumped into the doped up viewers 24/7 at the behest of their corporate sponsors.

The screen addicts that have been drugged on fear will believe anything their TeeVee tells them including the outrageous fantasy that some submicroscopic particle was floating around the earth making people sick.

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Leo Biddle Warrior Monk Apr 9

I never treated a single covid patient, which is somewhat odd given I run a very large primate & wildlife sanctuary. No pandemic for 4yrs in wild animals that's for sure.

LIKE (3) REPLY SHARE

Iain McCausland Apr 10

Iatrogenic...

LIKE (1) REPLY SHARE

© 2024 PANDA Knowledge Factory NPC · Privacy · Terms · Collection notice

Start Writing Get the app

Substack is the home for great culture